Nitrogen in the Marine Environment

Capone, D. G., D. A. Bronk, M. R. Mulholland and E. J. Carpenter (editors)

Section IV. Systems J. Estuaries

W. R. Boynton^{*1} and W. M. Kemp²

¹University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Chesapeake Biological Laboratory Solomons, MD, USA 20688

² University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science Horn Point Laboratory Cambridge, MD, USA 21613

* Corresponding author (boynton@cbl.umces.edu)

Acknowledgements

The completion of this review and synthesis depended on the timely efforts of many people and we gratefully acknowledge their assistance. Sarah Greene compiled estuarine denitrification data; Eva Bailey provided summaries of estuarine sediment-water nutrient exchanges; Jon Anderson developed dilution and nutrient distribution plots for Chesapeake Bay; Robert Stankelis, Paul Smail, Carl Zimmermann, Nancy Kaumeyer, Heather Soulen, Cheryl Clark, and Kim Blodnikar organized nutrient concentration data for a variety of estuaries and Jerome Frank developed the summary document. K. V. Wood assisted in editing the manuscript. The comments of two reviewers were especially helpful. This work was supported, in part, by grants from the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (K00B5200008/RAT-7/05/180), Maryland Department of Environment (U00P3200937), and the National Science Foundation (DEB-9412113 and DEB-0212636).

Introduction

In the first edition of this book, Nixon and Pilson (1983) began their chapter on nitrogen in estuaries by indicating it was an exciting and challenging time to be engaged in such studies. In the two decades since, that assessment continues to apply. In fact, studies of nitrogen (N) in estuarine ecosystems have so greatly expanded, intensified, and diversified that it is a challenge to capture even highlights of progress in this hyperactive area of research.

Why have so much intellectual and other forms of capital been devoted to understanding N in estuarine ecosystems? A case can be made that a central work stimulating N investigations in estuarine systems was Ryther and Dunstan's 1971 paper in Science where they concluded, based on nutrient ratios and bioassay experiments, that N rather than phosphorus (P) limited coastal algal growth and eutrophication and that reductions in P inputs to these systems would have little restorative effect. Prior to this, and for many subsequent years in some regions of the world, it was generally believed that phosphorus (P) limited primary production, if indeed any essential element was limiting in estuaries. In a limited number of estuaries it was known that relatively large loads of N and P entered these systems. However, light was often thought to be limiting in typically turbid estuarine waters and short water residence times (compared to many lakes) were believed to rapidly transport nutrients from estuaries before biota could make use of these compounds (e.g., Schindler 1981). A decade later the book Estuaries and Nutrients appeared (Neilson and Cronin 1981) and for the first time there was a broad examination of nutrients in estuarine ecosystems. Papers included reviews and a few syntheses (e.g., Nixon 1981), case studies from a limited number of estuarine ecosystems, and a few examples of estuarine responses to nutrient management efforts (Smith 1981; Jaworski 1981).

By the early to mid-1980s it became increasingly clear to many government agencies and environmental advocacy groups that something was seriously wrong with some estuarine systems (e.g., Malone et al. 1993; D'Elia et al. 2003). During this time several of the large estuarine restoration programs began in such sites as Long Island Sound, Chesapeake Bay, Tampa Bay and San Francisco Bay. With these programs came ambitious monitoring efforts where nutrient inputs from major rivers were gauged, point sources of nutrients were documented and estuarine nutrient concentrations, as well as other chemical (e.g. dissolved oxygen) and biological (e.g., chlorophyll-a) variables, were routinely measured, often at many sites. A real estuarine data avalanche had begun and continues today; however, it also appears that many of these data sets remain severely under-analyzed. Bricker et al (1999) produced a qualitative evaluation of water quality and habitat conditions in USA estuaries that included some 143 systems, again indicating the broad distribution of activities in these ecosystems. This trend has included sharp increases in the number of reported rate measurements and associated improvements in measurement techniques including measurements for rates of nutrient inputs to estuaries, primary production, denitrification rates, sediment-water exchanges of N, P and C, deposition of organic matter, burial of particulate nutrients, and others.

Given two decades of measurement frenzy since 1984, some have asked, what does all this mean? Are these systems all different or do they share some fundamental similarities? Do they respond to N-loads in some understandable fashion, are internal losses of N proportional to loads and are there estuarine features that regulate the

magnitude of N export to the coastal ocean? How do nutrients shape the structure and function of estuarine food webs? There is an emerging, and hopefully accelerating, pattern of estuarine data synthesis taking place and N plays a prominent role in these efforts. Early work along these lines (e.g., Nixon 1981; Boynton et al.1982) indicated the importance of N in regulating algal primary production and biomass and Nixon substantially expanded comparative estuarine analyses to include aspects of nutrient cycling (Nixon 1981) and secondary production (Nixon 1982, 1988, 1992). Monbet (1992) related algal biomass in a large number of European estuaries to N concentrations after classifying sites according to tidal range. More recently, nutrient budgets have been used as a quantitative framework to organize diverse data sets towards testing our understanding of N dynamics at the level of whole ecosystems (e.g., Wulff et al. 1990; Boynton et al. 1995; Nixon et al. 1995).

Degradation of estuarine environments prompted much of the interest in estuarine research and it now appears that nutrient-based eutrophication has become a central estuarine research theme, just as it did in limnology where this theme had the effect of unifying theoretical and applied aspects of that discipline. In recent years several reviews and syntheses have appeared with eutrophication being the core issue and considerations of N playing a very prominent role. Kelly (2001) and Rabalais (2002) reviewed N effects on coastal marine ecosystems, Cloern (2001) proposed several versions of a new eutrophication conceptual model and Smith (2003) reviewed and compared eutrophication responses of primary producers in lake, reservoir, marsh and estuarine systems. While it is clear that much needs to be done, it is equally clear that understanding of N in estuarine ecosystems has improved during the two decades since publication of Nitrogen in the Marine Environment (Nixon and Pilson 1983).

The goal of this chapter is to provide an overview of advances during the past 20 vears in our understanding of N in estuarine ecosystems and to suggest some fruitful directions future research might take. We have taken advantage of recent reviews, added information to several existing syntheses and generated several new summaries and syntheses from the almost overwhelming amounts of estuarine N data available. Three data summaries were developed specifically for this paper and these included N and P concentrations in the water columns of a variety of estuaries (Frank et al. 2005), aquatic denitrification rates (Greene 2005a), and estuarine sediment-water solute fluxes (Bailey 2005). In this review we have: (1) examined N concentrations from a wide variety of estuaries to describe distinct spatial-temporal patterns in relation to season and climate; (2) reviewed, compared and expanded reported N-budgets for estuarine systems; (3) compiled extensive data on sediment-water fluxes of N and other solutes and examined regulating factors; (4) summarized and compared annual patterns and rates of phytoplankton primary production in relation to nutrient limitation; and (5) reviewed current concepts concerning interactions between N and both trophic state and secondary production in estuaries.

Distribution of Dissolved N in Estuarine Waters

During the last twenty years, water column concentrations of dissolved N were probably the most intensively measured feature of N in estuarine environments. For example, about 8,000 measurements of each of the common dissolved N species (i.e., NO₂, NO₃, NH₄ and Dissolved Organic Nitrogen) were made per year for the past twenty years as part of routine monitoring in Chesapeake Bay (Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program 2004). Similar measurement programs have been gathering N concentration data for a decade or more (e.g., Danish estuaries, Conley et al. 2000; Tampa Bay, Greening and DeGrove 2001). In this section we review earlier efforts describing N distributions, provide some comparative analyses of N concentrations gleaned from examination of data compiled from many estuaries and conclude with a more detailed description of N distributions in Chesapeake Bay where these compounds have been measured at many sites and under variable climate conditions for several decades.

Previous Examinations of N Distributions

Earlier reviews of N distributions struggled to gather sufficient nutrient data to search for commonalities or differences among estuaries, but the current challenge is to organize the huge number of measurements into some tractable form. Given the enormous increase in the number of observations available, it's appropriate to ask what we have learned. Are there a limited number of N distribution patterns among estuarine systems or is variability in space and time the dominant feature? Estuarine characteristics such as river flow, depth, degree of stratification, water transparency, autotrophic community composition, and water residence time all influence N distributions. Since these characteristics vary widely both within and among estuaries we might expect reactive N compounds to do the same.

Boynton et al. (1982) organized seasonal-scale DIN and DIP data from 28 estuarine systems and reported that concentrations of DIN ranged from < 1 to 60 uM at the time of the year when phytoplankton production was at a maximum (generally during summer). In addition, DIN: DIP ratios in 75% of these systems were less than 10 during the period of highest production, suggestive of N-limitation, although ratios varied widely during the year, especially in river dominated estuaries. Nixon and Pilson (1983) examined nutrient concentrations in more detail from about a dozen USA estuarine systems. They also noted an extreme range in N concentrations among estuaries (0.1 to >200 uM) and found estuaries where DIN was very depleted in the water column, always abundant, or seasonally variable; the limited data available in the early 1980's may have largely prevented finding clear patterns of N concentration among estuaries.

In a recent and comprehensive review of 81 Danish estuaries it was reported that nutrient loading rate explained about 70% and 55% of the annual variation in TN and TP concentrations, respectively (Conley et al. 2000). Concentrations of both DIN and TN were highest during winter and lowest during summer. The relative uniformity in annual patterns of N and P concentration in Danish estuaries is consistent with the similarity in their structure, with most being small and shallow, with relatively short water residence times and heavily loaded with agricultural N and P. A large estuarine characterization was completed by Bricker et al. (1999) in which 143 estuaries, representing about 90% of USA estuarine surface area, was examined for symptoms of eutrophication. Nitrogen was identified as a major cause of eutrophication, but this effort stopped short of examining data for patterns of N distribution.

Twenty years ago issues concerning dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) were just emerging onto research agendas, measurement techniques were in early stages of development, little was known concerning the various compounds comprising the DON pool, sources and sinks were not well established, and there were only a limited number of measurements available. Much has changed concerning all of these issues, resulting in the development of several important reviews, one from just over a decade ago (Antia et al. 1991) and two more recent works (Bronk 2002 and Berman and Bronk 2003). Additionally, Burdige and Zheng (1998) developed a summary of DON exchanges between water and sediments in coastal and estuarine systems. We have added several more TDN and DON concentration measurements to those provided by Berman and Brock (2003; Table 1). Several important points are clear. In most estuaries DON ranges from an important (30-40%) to the dominant (>80%) component of the dissolved N pool. DON is operationally defined but is actually a complex mixture of N-compounds with widely differing chemistries, sources and sinks. We echo here the conclusions of Berman and Bronk (2003) that DON is not just a conservative N pool, can have strong source and sink pathways in estuaries and needs more explicit consideration in evaluations of the N economy of estuaries.

Table 1. Concentrations of total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) from surface waters of a variety of estuarine systems. Table was adapted from Berman and Bronk (2003). Entries labeled "this study" are from the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program (2004). Measurement methods included: PO=persulfate oxidation; UV=ultraviolet oxidation; NG=not given

	TDN	DON			
Location	(uM N)	(uM N)	% DON	Method	Source
Shinnecock Bay, NY	2-4.9	0.6-4	30-88	PO	Berman and Bronk 2002
Waquoit Bay, MA	140	40	29	NG	"
Chesapeake Bay, mesohaline	34	21	62	PO	п
Chesapeake Bay, mesohaline	43	22	51	PO	п
Chesapeake Bay, mesohaline	23	22	96	PO	u u
Chesapeake Bay, polyhaline		16		UV	н
Patuxent River, tidal fresh	70	29	41	PO	this study
Patuxent River, oligohaline	76	27	36	PO	н
Patuxent River, mesohaline	33	24	73	PO	"
Maryland Cstl. Bay, oligohaline	63	45	72	PO	n
Maryland Cstl. Bay, polyhaline	40	37	93	PO	"
Apalachicola Bay, FL	23	15	65	PO	Berman and Bronk 2002
Delaware Estuary		41		PO	n
Elbe Estuary	72	65	90	PO	п
North Inlet, SC	19-35	18-31	87-93	NG	н
Tomales Bay, CA		6-13		UV	ч

Comparative Examination of N Distributions

We re-visited the issue of water column N distributions to see if we could find distinctive seasonal patterns related to estuarine type, location within an estuary and climate variability (i.e., wet, dry, average inflow conditions). We obtained NH₄, NO₂, NO₃, and PO₄ concentration data from 40 USA estuarine systems. Several locations (e.g., tidal freshwater, oligohaline, mesohaline, polyhaline) were selected in some systems and in a dozen cases we also obtained concentration data during dry, average and wet years (Frank et al. 2005).

Annual NO₂+NO₃ concentrations in surface waters of 44 estuarine sites ranged from 0.05 to 150 uM (Fig. 1). Highest concentrations tended to occur in river dominated estuaries and lowest in dry and sub-tropical systems. As expected, concentrations were highest in tidal freshwater or oligohaline locations and decreased seaward. Despite the fact that all data in Figure 1 were collected during average inflow years the range in concentrations was large. This is likely a reflection of the multiple factors, including N input rates, water residence times, and biological uptake, which influence concentrations. In a smaller set of estuaries, $NO_2 +$ NO₃ concentrations were available for dry, average and wet years (Fig. 2). In Chesapeake Bay there were large differences in concentration



Figure 1. Bar graph of maximum and minimum $NO_2 + NO_3$ concentrations measured in a variety of USA estuaries during years of average freshwater inflow conditions. Location of samples from these systems is indicated by salinity regime (tidal freshwater, TF; oligohaline, O; mesohaline, M and polyhaline, P). Season in which maximum and minimum concentrations occurred are also indicated (winter, W; spring, Sp; summer, Su; fall, F). Data were compiled by Frank et al. (2005).

between dry and wet years in the polyhaline and mesohaline sites; NO_2+NO_3 was always high in the oligohaline zone because of proximity to the riverine N-source and limited uptake by phytoplanlton in this turbid portion of the system. Systems as different from Chesapeake Bay as Florida and Tomales Bays exhibited similiar but muted patterns. Differences between wet and dry year $NO_2 + NO_3$ concentration were very small or reversed in four of these systems indicating that generalities, even concerning such a common compound as $NO_2 + NO_3$, are hard to find.



Figure 2. Summary of maximum and minimum $NO_2 + NO_3$ concentrations measured in a selection of USA estuaries during wet, average and dry years. Location of samples from these systems is indicated by salinity regime (tidal freshwater, TF; oligohaline, O; mesohaline, M and polyhaline, P). Season in which maximum and minimum concentrations occurred are also indicated (winter, W; spring, Sp; summer, Su; fall, F). Data were compiled by Frank et al. (2005).

Strong seasonal patterns were evident for NO₂ + NO₃ and PO₄ concentrations (Fig. 3). Nitrite + nitrate concentrations were highest during winter; if winter and spring frequencies of maximum concentration are combined, then 80% of all maximum concentrations occurred during these seasons. Seasonal minimum concentrations mainly occurred during summer. Seasonal maximum PO₄ concentrations generally occurred during summer or fall, opposite the pattern observed for $NO_2 + NO_3$. Seasonal minimum concentrations of PO₄ were most frequently observed during spring, the time of the year that P has been found to limit spring diatom blooms (e.g., Fisher et al. 1999). DIN: DIP ratios were also computed and grouped by categories as a frequency histogram (Fig. 4). The most frequently encountered category was less than 10:1 (40%) which suggests potential N-limitation, and almost 60% of all ratios were less than 20:1. The seasonal differences in N (high in winter-spring) and P (high in summer-fall) concentrations certainly play into creating this distribution of ratios. Given that nutrient inputs to many estuarine ecosystems are rich to very rich in DIN relative to DIP, net biogeochemical processes tend to reduce dissolved N concentrations relative to dissolved P concentrations.







Figure 4. Frequency distribution of DIN:PO₄ ratios computed for a variety of USA estuarine systems. Data were compiled by Frank et al. (2005).

Detailed Distributions in Chesapeake Bay

While the analyses presented above suggest a wide range of variability in N distributions among estuarine systems, here we examine temporal and spatial patterns for one well-studied estuarine system. An intensive monitoring program in Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries during the past twenty years has experienced a wide range of hydraulic conditions. We summarized N distribution data to illustrate effects of season, location, depth and hydrology.

Monthly surface water nitrate concentrations in three salinity regions (oligohaline, mesohaline and polyhaline) in Chesapeake Bay are displayed as box and whisker plots and as mean values for wet or dry (i.e., high or low river flow) conditions (Fig. 5). Strong seasonal patterns are evident throughout the system for wet years and for dry years in all but the polyhaline. Differences between NO₃ concentrations in wet and dry years were pronounced in winter and spring but disappeared during fall. Differences in NO₃ concentrations with river flow in the mesohaline region were similar to the range in algal biomass observed between wet and dry years (Boynton and Kemp 2000). Peak concentrations occurred in the polyhaline region only a month after the seasonal peaks occurring 300 km farther up the Bay. Harding and Perry (1997) reported the largest changes in algal biomass in the polyhaline zone during a 50 year period of increased nutrient loading, consistent with the NO₃ distribution pattern found between wet and dry years.



Figure 5. Surface water $NO_2 + NO_3$ concentrations measured at stations located in the oligohaline (A), mesohaline (B) and polyhaline (C) regions of Chesapeake Bay. The box and whisker plots contain all values for each month in the 20 year data set (1985 – 2004). Dark squares and circles represent $NO_2 + NO_3$ concentrations during wet and dry years, respectively. Data were from the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program (2004). Whereas higher nitrate concentrations in surface (compared to bottom) waters reflect NO₃ sources in watershed runoff, higher concentrations of NH₄ in bottom waters derive from recycling processes occurring in the aphotic sediments (Fig. 6; Kemp and Boynton 1992). Seasonal variations in bottom water NH₄ concentrations were most pronounced in the stratified portions of Chesapeake Bay, particularly in the mesohaline and polyhaline area, where deposited phytoplankton blooms provided labile substrate for decomposition processes. Seasonal patterns and vertical differences in NH₄ were not as evident in the oligohaline portion of the Bay, probably because of stronger vertical mixing and less deposition of labile phytodetritus. During years of very high river discharge, summer peak NH₄ concentrations in bottom waters of the mesohaline, and even the polyhaline Bay, clearly reflected these flow conditions.



Figure 6.

Surface and bottom water NH₄ concentrations measured at stations located in the oligohaline (A), mesohaline (B) and polyhaline (C) regions of Chesapeake Bay. Monthly or bi-monthly data in the 20 year data set (1985-2004) were averaged to seasons. Data were from the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program (2004). Finally, we examined the seasonal distribution of NO₃ along the salinity gradient of the Patuxent River estuary, a tributary of Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 7). While these "mixing diagrams" have inherent interpretive limitations (e.g., Nixon and Pilson 1983), these plots of nitrate concentration versus salinity provide a format to examine variations in non-conservative processing of NO₃ with seasons and hydrologic conditions. The most consistent feature of these data is the very rapid disappearance of NO₃ in low salinity regions during summer under all flow conditions. In contrast, the nonconservative sink behavior of nitrate clearly evident in summer is barely apparent during winter. In addition, concentrations in the tidal freshwater estuarine zone tend to be higher and lower during dry and wet winters, respectively, suggestive of solute dilution with increasing river flow. These seasonally modulated patterns of NO₃ loss in excess of dilution are not as evident for NH₄ or PO₄, both of which exhibited more spatial variability and strong indications of internal sources, probably related to intense remineralization in the sub-pycnoline water column and bottom sediments (e.g., Kemp and Boynton 1984).



12

Figure 7. Salinity versus concentration plots for NO_2 + NO_3 during dry, average and wet years in the Patuxent River estuary. Summer and winter values are shown in each panel. Data were from the Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program (2004).

Nitrogen Budgets of Estuarine Systems

Although many data have been collected and reported concerning N in estuaries only a limited portion has been used to support development of quantitative N budgets, at the scale of whole ecosystems. Given the early interest in quantifying the sources and fate of N (e.g., Johnstone 1908), there have been surprisingly few published quantitative estuarine N budgets. Most of these report rates of N inputs, internal losses and downstream exports for whole estuaries at annual time scales. Nixon et al. (1996) have organized annual-scale nutrient budgets for nine estuarine ecosystems.

Inputs of N to Estuaries

Both the scope and detail of information currently available concerning N inputs to coastal and estuarine waters has changed dramatically since the early work of Meybeck (1982) who reported strong correlations between N concentrations and features of drainage basins (e.g., population density) for 30 rivers. During the late 1980s NOAA organized nutrient load estimates for many estuaries in the USA (e.g., Bricker et al. 1999). More recently, Smith et al. (2003) updated the global-scale analysis of Meybeck (1982) using data from 165 rivers to demonstrate that: (1) N and P loads were statistically related to population density and runoff per unit land area, (2) N and P loads were closely correlated to each other despite different biogeochemistries, (3) loads to coastal waters had increased by a factor of about three since the 1970s. Estimates of future loads to estuaries and the coastal ocean suggest another doubling by 2050 (e.g., Kroeze and Seitzinger 1998).

Detailed assessments have been reported for anthropogenic N loads to specific river basins and associated riverine N export to adjacent estuaries and coastal waters. Nitrogen exports from a diverse sampling of coastal landscapes appear to be on the order of 20-25% of anthropogenic inputs (Howarth et al. 2002; Boyer et al. 2002; Van Breemen et al. 2002; Castro et al. 2003). A variety of nutrient load models and techniques have also been developed, ranging from those having complex mechanistic structures and goals of high spatial and temporal resolution (Costanza et al. 2002) to simpler, more tractable models designed for use in particular landscapes (e.g., Valiela et al. 1997; Jordan et al. 2003; Carmichael et al. 2004) to very ambitious statistical models used at continental scales (e.g., Smith et al. 1997).

Several recent analyses of nitrogen loads to estuarine systems have been based on direct measurements of loads from riverine and point sources (possible ocean inputs not considered). For example, Conley et al. (2000) reported N loading rates to 81 Danish estuaries for a 7 year period, Nedwell et al. (2002) reported DIN loads to 93 mainland United Kingdom estuaries and Carmichael et al. (2004) estimated N loads to 15 small Cape Cod estuaries. In addition, estimates of historical N loads suggest 5-fold or larger increases during the last three centuries for both Narragansett Bay (Nixon 1997) and Chesapeake Bay (Boynton et al. 1995). Recent estimates for Chesapeake (Hagy et al. 2004) and Waquoit Bay (Bowen and Valiela 2001) suggest more than a doubling of N loads during the previous half-century. Nixon (2003) estimated Nile River nutrient loads to the adjacent Mediterranean sea coast before and after construction of the Aswan High Dam and argued that the loss of nutrients inputs due to damming of the Nile in the 1960s has largely been replaced by anthropogenic inputs associated with run-off of agricultural

fertilizers and sewage discharges. Finally, in many estuaries, the adjacent land and atmosphere are the main sources of N, but the adjacent ocean can serve as the main external source of N in some situations. For example, Kelly (1998) estimated that transport of N from the ocean into Boston Harbor provided about twice as much N as land-derived sources. Bricker et al. (1999) noted, but did not quantify, ocean derived N as a major nutrient source along the USA Maine coast during portions of the year.

It appears that estuaries are among the most heavily fertilized systems on the planet. To examine the distribution of N-loading rates among well-studied estuaries, we organized a frequency histogram of N loads (on an estuarine area basis and including only loads from landside, but not oceanic, sources) for 218 estuarine systems (Fig.8). The distribution that emerged indicated that most N-loading rates fell within the range of 6-50 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, and only about 15% of the systems had loading rates below 5 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹. It is interesting to note that anthropogenic N dosing to major watersheds of the USA ranged from 0.5 to 3.5 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Jordan and Weller 1996) and from 0.9 to about 6 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ for smaller watersheds of USA coastal areas (Van Breemen et al. 2002; Castro et al. 2003). The anthropogenic rates of N-loading to adjacent estuarine systems are clearly much higher; 37% of the sample exceeded 50 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, almost an order of magnitude greater than most adjacent land areas.



Figure 8. Frequency distribution of N-loading rates to a variety of estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems. Data were compiled from Boynton et al. (1995), Nixon et al. (1996), Conley et al. (2000), Nedwell et al. (2002), and Carmichael et al. (2004).

We also assembled data for 34 estuaries where inputs of both TN and TP (g N or $P m^{-2} yr^{-1}$) were available (Fig. 9). There is a very large range in N and P inputs among these estuaries. N loads varied by a factor of almost 200 and P by just over 300; the majority of systems in this sample had N and P loading rates ranging from 5 to 50 and 1 to 10, respectively. Despite the different biogeochemistry of N and P, there was an obvious correlation between loading rates of these elements (Smith et al. 2003). Loading rates for a few systems (e.g., Himmerfjarden, Back River) had especially high N: P ratios because sewage was a major nutrient source and P, but not N, was removed at treatment facilities. In others, elevated N: P ratios were the result of diffuse source inputs that were naturally more enriched in N (mainly NO₃) than P. Finally, it is important to note that loading rates alone are not generally sufficient to predict the trophic status (sensu Nixon 1995) of an estuary. For example, both the Potomac River estuary and Narragansett Bay had similar annual N loading rates but the Potomac exhibited severe eutrophication characteristics while these were far less severe in Narragansett Bay. Several authors have noted that estuarine morphology, water residence times, water column mixing rates, light conditions and biological communities all have potentially strong influences on the impact of loading rates (e.g., Wulff et al. 1990; Boynton et al. 1996; Valiela et al. 2000). A 25 year record of annual TN and TP loads to Chesapeake Bay from the Susquehanna River was added as an inset to Figure 9 to serve as a reminder that inputs to some estuaries exhibit considerable inter-annual variability. In this example, TN and TP loads varied by factors of about 3 and 5, respectively, and were very rich in N relative to P (TN:TP = 33 by weight). There was a strong indication that the TN:TP load ratio decreased during high load years, probably because more sediment, and sediment-bound P, were eroded and transported during wetter than average conditions. Thus, both the quantity and composition of nutrient inputs can vary by substantial amounts due to climate variability.



Figure 9. A scatter plot of annual TN versus TP loading rates to a selection of coastal, estuarine and lagoon ecosystems. Figure was re-drawn and expanded from Boynton et al. (1995). Systems are coded by number: 1 Buzzards Bay, MA (NOAA/EPA 1989); 2 Sinepuxent Bay, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 3 Kaneohe Bay, HI (post-diversion, Smith 1981); 4 Isle of Wight Bay, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 5 Baltic Sea (Nixon et al. 1996); 6 Chincoteague Bay, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 7 Kaneohe Bay, HI (pre-diversion, Smith 1981); 8 Narragansett Bay RI (prehistoric; Nixon 1997); 9 Gulf of Riga (Yurkovskis et al. 1993); 10 Albemarle Sound, NC (Nixon et al. 1986); 11 Himmerfjarden, Sweden (Engqvist 1996); 12 Guadaloupe Bay, TX (dry year, Nixon et al. 1996); 13 Buttermilk Bay, MA (Valiela and Costa 1988); 14 Moreton Bay, Australia (Evre and McKee 2002); 15 Seto Inland Sea (Nixon et al. 1986); 16 Taylorville Creek, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 18 Newport Bay, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 19 N. Adriatic Sea (Degobbis and Gilmartin 1990); 20 Mobile Bay, AL (NOAA/EPA 1989); 21 Chesapeake Bay, MD (Boynton et al. 1995); 22 MERL (1x), RI (Nixon et al. 1986); 23 Delaware Bay, DE (Nixon et al. (1996); 24 Narragansett Bay, RI (current, Nixon et al. 1996); 25 N. San Francisco Bay, CA (Hager and Schemel 1992); 26 Guadaloupe Bay, TX (wet year, Nixon et al. 1996); 27 Potomac River estuary, MD (Boynton et al. 1995); 28 St Martins River, MD (Boynton et al. 1992, 1996); 29 Apalachicola Bay, FL (NOAA/EPA 1989, Mortazavi et al. 2000); 30 Patapsco River Estuary, MD (Stammerjohn et al. 1991); 31 Tokyo Bay, Japan (Nixon et al. 1986); 32 Back River, MD (Boynton et al. 1998); 33 Boston Harbor, MA (pre-sewage diversion, Nixon et al. 1996); 34 Western Scheldt, Netherlands (Nixon et al. 1996). The solid diagonal line represents the Redfield ratio of TN:TP inputs (weight basis). The inset shows the same variables from a 25 year time series of loading to Chesapeake Bay from the Susquehanna River. The N:P load ratio in the inset is weight based (Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program 2004).



Figure 10. Scatter plot of average annual TN mass versus average annual TN loads for a portion of Chesapeake Bay and a selection of Chesapeake Bay tributary rivers. All concentration data were from sampling stations located in the mesohaline regions of the Bay and tributary rivers. Inset shows annual TN concentrations versus TN loads to the Potomac River estuary for an 8 year period. All data were from the Chesapeake **Bay Water Quality Monitoring** Program (2004).

In general, variations in N loading rates are reflected in concentrations of N in receiving water bodies. Although many processes act to modify nutrient concentrations at various rates, mean TN concentrations were significantly correlated to TN loading for five sub-systems of Chesapeake Bay averaged over a decadal period and for interannual variations in annual mean values for the Potomac River estuary (Fig. 10; Boynton and Kemp 2000). Conley et al. (2000) reported that on an annual basis about 70% on the variation in TN concentration could be explained by variation in TN loads in a large sample of Danish estuaries.

A review of nitrogen sources to estuaries would not be complete without some discussion of nitrogen fixation, an internal source of nitrogen. A comprehensive review of nitrogen fixation in the world oceans is provided by Carpenter and Capone (Section IIIA, this book). Understanding of the magnitude, factors controlling nitrogen fixation rates and the ecological significance of this process in estuarine ecosystems has received considerable attention in the last few decades.

It appears that in aphotic sediments and in estuarine water columns fixation rates tend to be low and not of ecological significance at the level of whole estuarine systems (e.g., Paerl et al. 1987, Howarth et al. 1988a, Burns et al. 2002; Affourtit et al. 2001; Marino et al. 2002). However, in photic sediments of coral reefs (Capone et al. 1992; Koop et al. 2001), salt marshes (Nielsen et al. 2001) and seagrass communities (McGlathery et al. 1998; Welsh 2000) fixation rates tend to be higher and of importance to the nitrogen economy of these localized communities.

Howarth et al (1988a) reported fixation rates to be low ($<0.2 \text{ g N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) in bare estuarine sediments, higher in organic-rich estuarine sediments ($0.4 - 1.6 \text{ g g N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and higher still in cyanobacterial mats ($1 - 76 \text{ g N m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Herbert (1999) and Welsh (2000) developed excellent summaries of estuarine fixation rates for a variety of subsystems and reached similar conclusions. In these more recent summaries, bare sediment rates ranged from 0.03 to 0.65 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, rates in cyanobacterial mats associated with

salt marshes and reefs ranged from about 1 - 10 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ in temperate areas and were higher in the tropics and rates in seagrass communities ranged from about 0.1 to 6 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ in temperate areas and from 10 to 40 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹ in tropical locations. Comparing these rates to loading rates of N from external sources (Figs. 8 and 9) indicates that fixation is not a dominant source of "new nitrogen" to most estuaries, although it can be an important feature in some estuarine communities, especially in oligotrophic tropical systems (Welsh 2000).

Understanding of the factors controlling fixation rates in estuarine water columns and sediments is also evolving. Suppression of water column fixation rates by iron limitation and sulfate inhibition of molybdenum uptake have been found to be important features in oxic environments and less so in reducing environments (Howarth and Cole 1985; Howarth et al. 1988b). Paerl et al. (1987) reported increased fixation rates with the addition of labile organics and suggested that additional substrate and the associated creation of anoxic microzones enhanced rates. In a recent series of papers Marino et al. (2002, 2003, 2006) found low fixation rates by planktonic estuarine cyanobacteria under nitrogen limited conditions to be caused by a combination of intrinsic slow growth, grazing by estuarine zooplankton and sulfate- molybdenum interactions. Thus, estuarine fixation rates much lower than those typically observed in nitrogen limited lakes appear to be the result of both top-down and bottom-up effects.

Internal Losses of N

Compared to N-inputs, the internal loss terms of estuarine N-budgets are not as well documented. In general, internal losses include burial of PN in accreting sediment columns and denitrification in either the water column or sediments. A few nutrient budgets have estimated N-extraction in the harvest of fish and shellfish biomass, but these tend to be small loss terms.

Estimates of long-term PN burial (Table 2) illustrate a substantial range in rates from very small values $(0.05 - 0.2 \text{ g N m}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1})$ in the deepest systems to very high rates for river deltas and tidal marshes (>20 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹). Although the small values in deep systems suggest processing of PN during transit through deep water columns (Hargrave 1973; Kemp et al. 1992), high variability in rates among systems with similar depths indicates other influences. In a synthesis of nutrient (N and P) budgets for 9 estuarine ecosystems, burial of PN was a prominent term in only two systems (> 35% of TN inputs buried) while in the others burial accounted for 12% or much less of TN inputs (see Nixon et al. 1996 for nutrient budget details for 9 estuarine ecosystems). The two systems in which burial was important (Patuxent and Potomac River estuaries) are sediment-rich, eutrophic estuaries, with relatively long water residence time (2 to 4 months). Of the other systems considered (Nixon et al. 1996), some had high loading rates but short residence times (e.g., Boston Harbor), while others had limited sediment supplies (e.g., Narragansett Bay). We suggest that PN burial can be an important internal loss in some systems, but limited measurements make this conclusion speculative. Table 2. Summary of long-term particulate nitrogen (PN) burial rates for several tidal marshes and a selection of estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems. Methods used to determine PN burial rates varied but all included an estimate of sediment accumulation rate (²¹⁰Pb, sediment budget, pollen grain analysis) and an estimate of PN concentration at a depth in the sediment column where concentrations were constant with further depth.

Ecosystem		N-Burial Rate	N-Burial Rate	
Туре	Location	(g N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	(mmoles N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	Reference
Tidal Marshes	N. Carolina	1.3 - 4.1	93-293	cited in Merrill 1999
	Louisiana	21	1500	"
	N. Carolina	6.9 - 10	493-714	н
	Choptank River	19 - 27	1367-1929	н
	Monie Bay	14	1000	Merrill 1999
	Patuxent River	21	1500	н
	Hudson River	2.3 - 16	164-1143	"
	Delaware Bay	2.5	179	cited in Nixon et al. 1996
Estuaries	Chesapeake oligohaline	11	786	Boynton et al. 1995
	Chesapeake mesohaline	3.5	250	n
	Patuxent oligohaline	14	1000	"
	Patuxent mesohaline	5	357	n
	Potomac mesohaline	10	714	н
	Choptank mesohaline	1.7	121	"
	Delaware Bay	1.1	79	cited in Nixon et al. 1996
	Narragansett Bay	3.3	236	"
	Guadalupe Bay	0.5	36	н
	Ochlockonee Bay	1.6	114	н
	Boston Harbor	2.6	186	н
	Scheldt estuary	14	1000	n
River	Mississippi-Atchafalaya	5.6	400	Milliman and Syvitski 1992
Deltas	Grijalva-Usumacinta	2.5	179	n
	Magdelena	58	4143	n
	Orinoco	4	286	n
	Amazon	4.6	329	II
Deeper Coastal	Baltic Sea	0.2	14	Larsson et al. 1985
Systems	Laurentian Channel	0.1	7	Muzuka and
	Labrador Sea	0.05	4	Hillaire-Marcel 1999

Denitrification, which represents a potentially important process for removing fixed N from estuaries, occurs predominantly in the upper stratum of sediments where rates tend to be limited by availability of nitrate. Nitrate is also produced via nitrification near the sediment surface which is, in turn, limited by availability of oxygen. A recent review of denitrification rates in aquatic systems included 1757 measurements from 152 sites over 45 years (Greene 2005a; Fig. 11 insert). Since the 1960s at least 9 different techniques were used to measure denitrification. The most frequently used method was the "acetylene block" technique in which acetylene is used to inhibit the reduction of N₂O to N₂, with N₂O accumulation used as a proxy for N₂ production. The acetylene technique was introduced in the 1970s and use peaked in the 1990s. There has been a growing interest in direct measurements of N₂ production since the 1980's. In the mid-1990's, isotope pairing and membrane inlet mass spectrometric (MIMS) techniques were developed and the use of the acetylene technique declined substantially.



Figure 11. Frequency distribution of denitrification measurements from a variety of estuarine ecosystems. The inset is a frequency histogram using denitrification measurements from all aquatic systems. Both figures used data from the denitrification summary developed by Greene (2005a).

Most reported denitrification measurements indicated rates between 11 and 100 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹, with few rates < 1 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ and few in excess of 1000 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 11). In estuarine systems (Fig. 11) the most commonly reported rates were between 1 and 50 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹, but almost 40% of measurements were larger. Estuaries were also the most intensively measured systems (56 % of all measurements), although many estimates were also available for continental shelves, coastal wetlands, lakes, lagoons, inland wetlands and several other environments (Table 3). The lower limit of rates in most environments was < 1 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ while maximum rates ranged from 167 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ in seagrass communities to 24142 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ in human

engineered systems. Maximum rates above 1000 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ were also measured in freshwater creeks, coral reefs, continental shelf and estuarine environments, with maximum estuarine rates reaching nearly 20,000 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ in an area near a sewage treatment plant discharge. Mean rates in most systems were between 50 and 250 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹. It is useful to note that denitrification rates of 200 umol N m⁻² h⁻¹ are equal to about 25 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, a substantial fraction of TN loading rates to many estuaries (Fig. 8).

Table 3. Summary of denitrification rates from a variety of aquatic ecosystems. These data were from a summary developed by Greene (2005). System types are arranged in geographic sequence from land to sea. Total number of measurements = 1757. Negative values indicate that N-fixation rates exceeded denitrification rates

	Denitrificat	tion Rate, umo			
System Type	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Median	Number of Measurements
Lakes	0	490	125	100	91
Freshwater Creeks	0	1500	266	13	17
Freshwater Wetlands	0	330	39	4	52
Human Engineered Systems	0	24142	1497	8	55
Tidal Mudflats	2.1	213	70	30	62
Coastal Wetlands	-200	375	96	71	154
Seagrass Communities	8.3	167	51	21	7
Coastal Lagoons	0	290	19	5	116
Estuaries (sub-tidal)	-93	19616	182	31	1052
Coral Reef Communities	0	1351	107	19	38
Continental Shelf	0	1657	102	13	113

Several earlier papers helped place denitrification, as an internal loss term, into the context of the N economy of estuaries. Seitzinger (1988) summarized available data from lakes, rivers and estuaries regarding the ecological significance of this process. Important conclusions were that denitrification rates were higher in systems receiving large, anthropogenic nutrient inputs, most of the NO₃ consumed in denitrification apparently came from sediment-based nitrification rather than from the water column and, from a small sampling of estuaries, denitrification rates were proportional to TN loading rates, removing an average of 40% of N inputs. More recently, Nixon et al. (1996) computed the proportion of input N removed via denitrification from a larger selection of estuaries and several lakes, with values ranging from 10 to 74%, again indicating the importance of this process.

Although denitrification is an anaerobic process, estuarine rates are often limited by conditions of low bottom water oxygen and organic enrichment of sediments. Resulting low redox conditions, high sulfide concentrations and shallow penetration of oxygen into sediments inhibit nitrification, and consequently denitrification (e.g., Vanderborght and Billen 1975; Henriksen and Kemp 1988; Joye and Hollibaugh 1995). Under these conditions, sediment recycling of N becomes more efficient in that most of the PN deposited to sediments is returned to the water column as NH_4 (Kemp et al. 1990). We identified a limited number of studies in which bottom water dissolved oxygen varied appreciably during the study period and in which denitrification and net sediment-water fluxes of NH_4 , NO_2 and NO_3 were also measured. Such data were available from a Danish site (Rysgaard-Petersen et al. 1994) and from several studies conducted in Chesapeake Bay (Kemp et al. 1990 and Cornwell, unpublished data). We developed an index of N recycling efficiency and examined this as a function of bottom water dissolved oxygen concentrations (Fig. 12). For both systems there was a consistent increase in cycling efficiency as dissolved oxygen concentrations decrease. This trend suggests that estuarine eutrophication can seriously inhibit N-removal via coupled nitrification-denitrification. Clearly, more sites need to be examined to see if this is a general pattern of response. However, the increase in N cycling efficiency with low dissolved oxygen conditions is consistent with the relatively low percent N removal via denitrification in Chesapeake Bay and adjacent tributary rivers, all of which have hypoxic or anoxic bottom waters for portions of each year (Boynton et al. 1995; Hagy et al. 2004).



Figure 12. Bar graph of N-recycling efficiency as a function of bottom water dissolved oxygen concentration. Bars were based on Chesapeake Bay data reported in Kemp et al. (1990) and Cornwell (unpub. data). Solid dots were based on data from Rysgaard-Petersen et al. (1994) collected from Vilhelmsborg So, Denmark. Terms in the recycling efficiency calculation (y-axis) are: F_{N2} = flux of N₂ from sediments; F_{NH4} = flux of ammonium from sediments; F_{NO3} = flux of nitrate from sediments.

Export of N to Downstream Systems

The final term in typical nutrient budgets is the export or import of materials to or from the adjacent downstream system. While we have indicated that there has been significant progress in understanding N in estuarine systems, there are also areas with pressing needs for improvement. Export of materials at the mouths of estuaries is a case in point. In most N-budgets the export term was estimated by subtracting the summation of internal losses from the full suite of inputs. The main reason for this non-independent approach was simply because more direct estimates of net flux were too difficult to accomplish. The basic problem is that water fluxes at the mouths of estuaries are relatively large, circulation patterns are often complex (e.g., Kjerve and Proehl 1979; Boicourt 1983; Chao et al. 1996) and the differences in nutrient concentrations across this boundary are often small and variable. Thus, it is extremely difficult to develop accurate estimates of net exchange. It appears that this term will remain uncertain until dependable hydrodynamic models become even more widely available and accessible to those interested in nutrient dynamics.

Despite this difficulty, a recent synthesis of nutrient exchanges between estuaries and coastal waters provides some insights (Nixon et al. 1996). Exports of N from 10 estuarine systems and several lakes ranged from 10% to about 90% of inputs and, as suggested earlier (Boynton et al. 1995), N exports were not well correlated with inputs. The percent of N inputs exported to the coastal ocean was inversely correlated with the log mean residence time of water in the system (Nixon et al. 1996), as has been found for lakes (Kirchner and Dillon 1975). Adding data for four Chesapeake Bay tributaries to this relationship suggests that other factors (e.g., depth, salinity, nutrient levels) also affect relative export of N (Fig. 13). However, despite the widely varying environmental character among these systems, water residence time exerts strong control on the ratio of export to loading. If estuarine communities have sufficient time to process nitrogen, they will, in effect, reduce the N exported via N burial or denitrification. Thus it appears that estuaries can act as either "pipes" where N transport rather than transformation is the dominant process or as "sinks" for N, all as a function of how long water remains in the system.

It appears that Chesapeake Bay and its tributary estuaries tend to remove a higher fraction of N loads than expected from the estimated water residence times (Fig. 13). Some of these differences may simply be the result of accumulated errors in developing N budgets. However, Chesapeake Bay is a sediment-rich and eutrophic system with abundant suspended PN and sediment concentrations to facilitate burial. Of the estuaries examined by Nixon et al. (1996), only the Chesapeake systems had substantial N-burial losses, probably because of these features and moderately long water residence times. In addition, many Chesapeake Bay tributaries (e.g., Patuxent and Choptank) that export a small fraction of their N load have large areas of low-salinity tidal marshes which also sequester substantial amounts of both N and P (Merrill 1999; Greene 2005b). Thus, it's possible that some estuarine communities, such as those associated with tidal marshes and seagrass beds, may modify relative N export characteristics (Valiela et al. 2001). To expand our understanding of N dynamics in estuaries, there is a clear need for more direct calculations of N exchange with seaward waters and better estimates of other loss terms including burial and denitrification.

Figure 13. Scatter plot of percent N exported versus water residence time for a variety of estuarine ecosystems. Figure was re-drawn from Nixon et al. (1996). Patuxent and Choptank Rivers, tributaries of Chesapeake Bay, were not included in the original analysis. Data for those systems were from Boynton et al. (1995) and Fisher (unpubl. data).



Ecosystem Metabolism: Interactions With N Cycling

Under steady-state conditions, the balance between inorganic N inputs and losses for an estuary is directly related to the net production (or consumption) of organic matter in the associated ecosystem, where net organic production and net fluxes of inorganic N are inversely related. Net ecosystem production (NEP) is supported by net uptake of inorganic N, and conversely, net consumption of organic matter results in net release of inorganic N. NEP, which is defined as the balance between gross primary production (P_G) and community respiration (R_C) of organic carbon, provides a measure of the excess production or consumption resulting in changes in internal storage or net export or import across the system boundaries (Odum 1956, Fisher and Likens 1973). Ecosystems with positive NEP are considered autotrophic, while those with negative NEP are considered heterotrophic. Although P_G tends to equal R_C (NEP \approx 0) at large scales, imbalances between P_G and R_C at smaller scales support functional coupling between adjacent habitats through exchanges of organic carbon and inorganic nutrients (e.g., Hopkinson and Vallino 1995, Kemp et al. 1997, Smith and Hollibaugh 1997)

NEP can be calculated using different methods including long-term continuous records of dissolved oxygen or inorganic carbon (relative to saturation concentrations) at diel or seasonal scales (e.g., Howarth et al. 1992), and summation of individual production and respiration measurements broadly representing temporal and spatial scales and major habitats (e.g., Kemp et al. 1997). NEP can also be computed using estimates of the net balance between imports and exports of dissolved inorganic phosphorus, DIP (e.g., Smith 1991), where rates are converted from phosphorus to carbon units assuming a fixed stoichiometry for primary production and nutrient recycling (e.g., C:N:P = 106:16:1). Conversely, NEP can be converted to nitrogen units using these stoichiometric assumptions; however, the net balance of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) will also be affected by two important processes involving nitrogen gas production and consumption-denitrification and nitrogen fixation, respectively (e.g., Nixon and Pilson 1984). In fact, rates of NEP and related biogeochemical fluxes have been estimated for many estuaries and coastal bays worldwide combining simple water- and salt-balance models that compute advective and diffusive solute transport with data for DIN and DIP time-space distributions to infer non-conservative net fluxes as residuals in dynamic nutrient budget calculations (http://wwwold.nioz.nl/loicz/). Comparative analyses of these rates suggest broad regional relationships with hydrology and watershed development (Smith et al. 2003).

Estimates of NEP can also be made using time-varying water/salt balance calculations for an estuarine water volume segmented into two-layers and multiple regions (or boxes) along the salinity gradient (Hagy et al. 2000). Such a "box-model" was used to compute net non-conservative fluxes for nutrients and dissolved oxygen (O₂, corrected for air-sea exchange) in the Patuxent River estuary, USA (Kemp et al. 1999, Testa and Kemp 2005). The inherently tight coupling between organic production (NEP) and N cycling can be seen in the highly correlated patterns of annual mean rates of net O₂ and DIN flux along the estuarine gradient for surface and bottom layers in hydrologically contrasting years (Fig. 14). In surface layers, interannual and longitudinal variations in DIN uptake generally correspond to patterns in net O₂ production, while in bottom layers, trends of net O₂ consumption appear as a mirror image of net DIN production rates. Bottom layer DIN fluxes are inversely correlated to O_2 fluxes ($r^2 = 0.81$); however, trends are complicated because negative fluxes in low salinity estuarine regions are from high water column nitrate concentrations diffusing into sediments to support dentrification, while positive net fluxes in the more saline regions are primarily due to ammonium efflux from decomposing organic matter in sediments.



Figure 14. Net non-conservative biogeochemical fluxes of dissolved oxygen (O_2) and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) calculated using a box-model (Hagy et al. 2000) for six regions and two vertical layers defined along the Patuxent River estuary for relatively wet (2000) and dry (2002) hydrologic years. Fluxes were estimated as residuals in mass-balance calculations for monitored O_2 and DIN concentrations (Kemp et al. 1999).

Whereas annual rates of primary production tend to be regulated by inputs of total nitrogen for many coastal ecosystems (e.g., Boynton et al. 1982, Nixon et al. 1986), it appears that NEP (NEP = $P_G - R_C$) is controlled more by the balance between inputs of DIN and total organic nitrogen, TON (or carbon, TOC), where DIN inputs stimulate P_{G} , and TON (or TOC) inputs support R_C (Kemp et al. 1997). Comparative analysis of NEP calculations and loading rates for five estuaries and for mesocosms (MERL; Oviatt et al. 1986) at different nutrient treatments reveals a consistent relationship between NEP and the DIN:TOC loading ratio (Fig.15). While strong relationships were evident for NEP from controlled experimental systems and for long term average rates in specific estuaries, substantial year-to-year variations in NEP are often evident for specific estuarine ecosystems. Such variations in metabolic rates may be related to fluctuations in climatic conditions that regulate, for example, inputs of organic matter from adjacent coastal upwelling regions (e.g., Smith and Hollibaugh 1997), or river flow and associated nutrient loading and water residence time (Fig. 15, note differences in net fluxes for high and low flow years, and interannual variability in NEP in figure inset). Values for P_G and R_C computed from continuous diel O₂ measurements for a range of shallow North American coastal ecosystems revealed that NEP was generally negative (i.e., net heterotrophic), was responsive to climatic variations, and was related to N inputs (Caffrey 2004). The heterotrophic nature of NEP in many coastal ecosystems combined with the correspondence between biogeochemical fluxes of C and N have been interpreted to suggest that N cycling, in general, and denitrification, in particular, may actually be limited by C availability (Smith and Hollibaugh 1989). This is in contrast to the conventional view that carbon production and consumption are limited by N availability. In summary, we conclude total primary production and net ecosystem production are tightly coupled to inputs and cycling of N in most coastal environments, and that these relationships vary with hydrologic and climatic conditions.



Figure 15. Comparative analysis of net ecosystem production for estuaries in relation to loading ratio for DIN:TOC. Data sources are: for MERL mesocosms (Oviatt et al. 1986), for Narragansett Bay (Nixon et al. 1995), for Tomales Bay (Smith and Hollibaugh 1997), for San Francisco Bay (Jassby et al. 1993), for Chesapeake Bay (Kemp et al. 1997), and for Patuxent River estuary in 1985-1994 (Kemp et al. 1999). Figure was adapted from Kemp et al. (1997). The DIN:TOC load ratio was based on external inputs of DIN ($NO_3 + NO_2 +$ NH₄) and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) to each site.

Sediment-Water Solute Fluxes

One of the distinguishing features of estuarine ecosystems is their relatively shallow water depths compared to many lakes and the coastal ocean. One consequence of a short water column is that the upper photic waters that support primary production are closely connected to zones of nutrient remineralization in estuarine sediments. This results in strong benthic-pelagic (B/P) coupling, which is one of several reasons suggested for the high primary and secondary productivity of estuarine systems (Kemp and Boynton 1992). While B/P coupling implies bi-directional influences, we focus here on benthic N-cycling and the efflux of DIN across the sediment-water interface. During the past twenty years a substantial number of sediment-water flux measurements have been made in diverse estuarine and coastal marine systems, providing opportunity for a synthetic analysis. In the following section we consider ammonium effluxes because they have been widely measured and because NH₄ is usually the primary N compound released by sediments. However, we also included oxygen and dissolved inorganic P (DIP) fluxes because they are also widely measured and because O₂, DIP and NH₄ fluxes are stoichiometrically linked in complex ways. We recognize that other N forms, such as NO₃, can occasionally play a significant role in sediment nutrient dynamics. In recent years, a growing number of sediment-water fluxes have been measured in shallow, clearwater systems where sediments are in the photic zone (e.g. Rizzo et al. 1992; Rysgaard-Petersen et al. 1994; Reay et al. 1995; McGlathery et al. 2001; Eyre and Ferguson 2002; Tyler and McGlathery 2003). N-cycling under those conditions is apparently even more complex, with benthic photosynthesis supporting N-assimilation, shifting redox conditions and associated biogeochemical processes. A detailed discussion of these data can be found elsewhere in this book (McGlathery, Chapter IV.O). Finally, we did not review DON fluxes associated with estuarine sediments because these have not been routinely measured and because Bronk and Steinberg (Chapter III.E) and Jove and Anderson (Chapter IV.K) address this issue in detail.

Overview of Flux Magnitude

Sediment-water fluxes of ammonium (NH₄), dissolved oxygen (O₂), and dissolved inorganic phosphorus (PO₄) were organized based on data from 52 studies (6, 19, 26 and 1 from the 1970's, 1980's, 1990's and 2000's, respectively) conducted in 48 estuarine and coastal marine areas. A total of 701 flux measurements were included in the summary developed by Bailey (2005). Measurements included those made *in situ* or with ship-board or laboratory sediment core incubations. In all cases, measurements of analyte concentrations (O₂, NH₄, and PO₄) were made during an incubation period in the dark at ambient water temperature, and fluxes were computed using concentration temporal rates of change. Studies using modeling techniques or fluxes estimated from sediment pore water concentrations were not included.

Mean values of flux magnitudes and ratios exceeded median values (Table 4); there were a small number of large values for each of these fluxes (Fig. 16a). Sediment oxygen consumption (SOC) rates ranged from 0 to 18,229 μ mol m⁻² hr⁻, ammonium fluxes from -83 to 2700 μ mol m⁻² hr⁻¹ and phosphate fluxes from -231 to 900 μ mol m⁻² hr⁻¹. Flux ratios are also of interest because they can provide an indication of active sediment biogeochemical processes. Median values of N: P and O: N flux ratios were lower and higher, respectively, than would be expected based on Redfield phytoplankton

composition ratios (N: $P \sim 16$; O: $N \sim 13.3$). Both ratios suggest less N being recycled relative to recycled P and to oxygen consumption rates. While several explanations are possible, much of this pattern is probably attributable to loss of N-solutes via nitrification-denitrification in estuarine sediments (e.g., Jenkins and Kemp 1984; Seitzinger 1988; Rysgaard-Petersen et al. 1994).

Table 4. Summary of net sediment-water oxygen and nutrient exchanges and flux ratios from 48 estuarine and coastal ecosystems developed by Bailey (2005). All measurements were made in environments where the sediments were aphotic and all sediment incubations were made in the dark. Flux units are: umol O_2 , N or P m⁻² hr⁻¹); N:P and O:N ratios atomic basis. Negative values indicate flux es into sediments.

Type of Flux					
or Flux Ratio	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Median	n
NH ₄	-83	2700	125	42	641
PO_4	-231	900	22	4.2	506
O_2	0	-18229	-1302	-781	554
N : P	0	193	13	7.8	369
O : N	0	1450	63	24	413



Figure 16. A summary of sediment-water NH_4 , PO_4 and SOC rates, including: (A) frequency histogram of rates; (B) rates as a function of salinity regime; (C) rates as a function of system depth; (D) rates as a function of temperature. Data were organized by Bailey (2005).

Spatial Patterns of Flux

All fluxes tended to be higher in saltier than in fresher waters (Fig. 16b). Although one might anticipate that NH_4 and SOC fluxes would be inversely related to salinity with highest values closest to terrestrial organic matter and nutrient sources, this was not the case. Apparently, more phytoplanktonic debris, which is more labile with lower C:N ratios, tends to be the dominant source of organic matter fueling benthic processes in higher salinity zones. On the other hand, DIP efflux from sediments tended to peak in the low mesohaline (salinity = 5–10) region. This is probably a manifestation of both loosely sorbed P being released into solution as a consequence of increased salinity (Froelich 1988) and of the dissolution of iron-phosphate complexes after chemical reduction of iron and precipitation of iron sulfides in anaerobic sediments (Krom and Berner 1980; Jensen et al. 1995).

In general, SOC tends to decline with water depth (e.g., Hargrave 1973; Kemp et al. 1992; Kemp and Boynton 1992), as plankton respiration causes a smaller percentage of sinking organic matter to reach the bottom due to longer transit times in deeper water columns. Efflux of NH₄ from sediments has previously been related to water column depth (Harrison 1980) across large gradients (10-2000 m). We found a generally similar response for NH₄, PO₄, and SOC fluxes (Fig. 16c) for a relatively small depth range (0.2 -50 m). Fluxes were 5 to 10 times higher in water of 5-10 m depth than in waters greater than 50 m depth, consistent with earlier reports for SOC. However, mean fluxes in the dark were lower at very shallow depths (0.2 - 5 m). The shallow water sediments are typically within the zone where autotrophic processes tend to dominate sediment biogeochemistry, with high rates of N and P assimilation by benthic algae and seagrasses and oxidized sediments which promote adsorbtion and precipitation of PO₄ and coupled nitrification-denitrification of N. Thus, NH₄ and PO₄ effluxes tend to be low in these shallow sediments. In addition, sediments and organic matter may be exposed to resuspension via wave action and the less dense labile organic particulates transported to deeper waters where they are remineralized. The flux-depth pattern observed with our large data set is not consistent with several other analyses including those of Nixon (1981) and Seitzinger and Giblin (1996) where depth ranges of 3-70m and <200m, respectively, were examined and did not exhibit strong relationships with depth.

Regulation of Sediment-Water Fluxes

There are many factors that may play important roles in regulating sediment biogeochemical processes and associated solute exchanges. There is a substantial literature concerning this topic, extending back several decades. The list of potential influencing factors includes temperature, activities of infaunal communities, redox conditions near the sediment-water interface, solute concentrations in overlying water, and rates of organic matter supply. Effects of infauna can be both direct (i.e., excretion) and indirect (i.e., burrowing, pumping, and stimulation of microbial communities). For example, Flint and Kamykowski (1984), Hammond et al. (1985), Kanneworff and Christensen (1986), Banta et al. (1995) and Webb and Eyre (2004) reported a variety of macrofaunal influences on sediment-water exchange rates and other processes. Others have reported on the influence of redox and water quality conditions on sediment processes (e.g., Sundby et al. 1992). The challenge of quantitative modeling of sediment-water processes and associated interactions was captured in a recent book by DiToro (2001).

Previous studies have concluded that, ultimately, organic matter supply rate to sediments was the overarching factor regulating sediment biogeochemistry and solute flux across the sediment-water interface. For example, comparative analysis among diverse estuarine systems indicates that benthic respiration rates are highly correlated with organic matter production rates (Nixon 1981). The relationship appeared to be linear across a very large range of primary production rates (~ 75 to 1400 g C m⁻² y⁻¹), including data from Chesapeake Bay (Kemp and Boynton 1992). In northern European waters, rates of sediment respiration and ammonium and phosphorus fluxes tend to respond rapidly to deposition of spring and autumn algal blooms (Graf et al. 1982; Jensen et al. 1990). Although temperature appears to affect response time, similar relationships have been reported for sediment anaerobic respiration in North American systems (Sampou and Oviatt 1991; Marvin-DiPasquale et al. 2003).

Interacting effects of organic matter supply and temperature are illustrated with two examples from Chesapeake Bay aphotic sediments. In the first case (Cowan and Boynton 1996), sediment chlorophyll-a concentration (as an index of recent organic deposition) was related to sediment-water NH₄ fluxes measured during three years at three stations along the estuarine salinity gradient (Fig. 17). These stations varied substantially in terms of mean depth, O₂ conditions, sediment type, and macrofaunal characteristics; the strength of the observed relationship, therefore, emphasizes the overall importance of organic matter supply. A second point of interest is the lag time used in this analysis. Specifically, sediment chlorophyll-a concentrations were averaged from just prior to spring bloom deposition through the summer period, while NH₄ fluxes were averaged from mid-spring through summer. Biogeochemical processes in this system, which has a large annual temperature range (0 to 33 °C), are apparently not adapted to cold water (Sampou and Kemp 1994). It is argued that sediment respiration and nutrient remineralization respond to spring bloom deposition and labile organic matter accumulation primarily after temperature increases beyond 10 °C (Cowan and Boynton 1996). Thus, there is a period of organic matter loading to sediments followed by a period of NH₄ release. Other investigators have found a more immediate response to temperature (e.g., Banta et al. 1995). However, the importance of organic matter supply rate is clearly evident in these results. The second example is from the Patuxent River, where multiple NH₄ flux measurements were made during three summer periods, all within the mesohaline region of the estuary (Stankelis et al. 1999). Water column and sediment characteristics were also measured at flux site locations and a reasonably simple regression model was developed in which sediment chlorophyll-a, as an index of labile organic matter supply, again played an important part (Fig. 18). An additional twist in this analysis was the apparent role played by sediment redox conditions. The model indicated that as sediment redox conditions became more positive, less NH₄ was released. This is consistent with the idea that sediment N is more likely to be nitrified when sediments are oxidized (Kemp et al. 1990; Rysgaard-Petersen et al. 1994).



Figure 17. A scatter plot of sediment NH₄ fluxes versus surface sediment chlorophyll-a concentration collected for several years at three sites along the salinity gradient of Chesapeake Bay. Note that sediment chlorophyll-a data were averaged from late winter through summer while sediment fluxes were averaged from late spring through summer. Figure was redrawn from Cowan and Boynton (1996). Sites abbriviations are: NB = Northern Bay (oligohaline); MB = Mid Bay (mesohaline); SB = South Bay (polyhaline).



Figure 18. A scatter plot of predicted versus measured NH₄ fluxes for the mesohaline region of the Patuxent River estuary. Data and figure are from Stankelis et al. (1999).

We also examined flux data with respect to water temperature at the time of measurement using the sediment-water flux data set developed by Bailey (2005; Fig. 16d). In all cases there were sharp increases in rates with increased temperature. Estimated Q₁₀ (0 to 30 °C) values for NH₄, PO₄ and SOC fluxes were 2.9, 3.0 and 1.8, respectively. It is also useful to note there is considerable bias in the temperature range in which these measurements are made. Less than 10% of the reported rates were from temperatures < 5 °C, and only a slightly higher percentage were from temperatures of 5 – 10 °C. About 50% of all measurements were made at temperatures between 10 and 20 °C, a fact that suggests a Goldilocks and the Three Bears approach to ecology wherein measurements were made when it was neither too hot nor too cold, but just right (Brett 1987). To examine the influence of temperature on sediment fluxes in more detail, we organized a rare 9 year time-series of sediment NH₄ fluxes collected from two Chesapeake Bay tributaries- the Potomac and Patuxent River estuaries (Boynton and Rohland 1998). For comparison, monthly NH₄ fluxes were expressed as percent of maximum flux observed during each year, averaged by month for the 9 year record, and then plotted as a function of average temperature at the time of measurement (Fig. 19). Results from the heavily nutrient enriched Potomac and moderately enriched Patuxent indicated increasing fluxes with increasing temperature through mid-summer but then sharply reduced fluxes at comparable or slightly higher temperatures through late summer and fall. A similar pattern was reported from a site in Chesapeake Bay (Cowan and Boynton 1996). The substantial differences in flux magnitude before and after July indicate that other factors, such as supply of labile organic matter, limit remineralization in late summer and autumn. However, there appear to be a variety of seasonal patterns of sediment fluxes among estuaries. For example, Banta et al (1995) and Nixon et al (1979) found strong relationships between temperature and sediment ammonium fluxes in Buzzards Bay and Narragansett Bay. The seasonal pattern of sediment denitrification may also strongly influence the pattern of sediment ammonium fluxes (Hopkinson et al. 1999).



Figure 19. A scatter plot of sediment NH₄ fluxes as a function of temperature from two tributary rivers of Chesapeake Bay. NH₄ fluxes were based on 9 years of monthly samples. Fluxes were expressed as a percent of the maximum flux observed in each year and then averaged, again by month, for all years. Temperature was the average temperature during each month of the 9 year record at each site. The Potomac site was very nutrient enriched and the Patuxent site was moderately enriched. Data were from Boynton and Rohland (1998).

Nitrogen and Primary Production

In many estuarine systems primary production by phytoplankton and other autotrophs is affected by N inputs and concentrations (e.g., Howarth 1988; Nixon 1992; Cloern 2001; Kelly 2001; Smith 2003). For this review, we have compiled estimates of annual primary production and simple models used to relate production to nutrient conditions. We also discuss the evolution of thinking concerning nutrient limitation of pelagic primary production in estuaries. Finally, we review the conceptual models concerning benthic and pelagic primary production and consider the influence of N on these different autrotrophic components.

Phytoplankton Primary Production

Annual rates of phytoplankton primary production from 120 different estuarine and coastal marine systems were organized in a frequency plot (Fig. 20). The most frequently encountered rates were those between 100 and 300 g C m⁻² y⁻¹, corresponding to mesotrophic status in the classification suggested by Nixon (1995). Despite very real concern about increasing eutrophication of coastal systems, only 32% of these systems were in the eutrophic or hypertrophic categories. It is unclear, however, how representative this sample is of estuarine systems worldwide. It is almost certain that vast amounts of data remain to be mined from unpublished sources and that incorporation of this material might substantially change the observed pattern. In addition, most estimates of primary production are estimates of pelagic primary production; few included benthic primary production, which is especially important in shallow systems. We used the summary of total system (pelagic plus benthic) primary production compiled by Borum and Sand-Jenson (1996) and examined categories of production relative to trophic state (Fig. 20 inset). In this smaller sample, rates were generally higher, as expected, with 68% of the sites having annual productivity rates greater than 300 g C m⁻² y⁻¹. Most of these sites were shallow, even by estuarine standards, and exhibited very high production rates, clearly indicating the importance of benthic primary producers. Borum and Sand-Jensen (1996) also pointed out that it was rare for both benthic and planktonic rates to be high in the same system, and indicated that conditions which enhance one group of primary producers tend to inhibit the other.



Figure 20. Frequency distribution of annual phytoplankton primary production rates for a selection of estuarine and coastal marine systems. Data were from Boynton et al. (1982), Pennoch and Sharp (1986), deJonge et al. (1994), Borum and Sand-Jensen (1996), Nixon (1997), Conley et al. (2000), Chen et al. (2000), Cloern (2001), Kelly (2001) and Harding et al. (2002). Inset diagram shows frequency distribution for total primary production (pelagic plus benthic) for a limited number of estuaries. Inset data were from Borum and Sand-Jensen (1996).

Statistical Models of N and Production

We also compiled reports of statistical models developed to relate primary production or algal biomass to N (Table 5). All but one of these were generated during the last two decades, and the models take a variety of forms, for example, using N concentration or loading rate as an independent variable. Most models were computed on annual time scales, and for several it was unclear how temporal and spatial variability was taken into account. Sample size used to develop these models varied widely, and some were based on multi-system comparisons while others were based on multi-year data collected for one system. Given the myriad factors known to influence production and algal biomass accumulation, it is impressive that N alone was able to account for a large portion of the variability of phytoplankton production or algal biomass.

There are, of course, some serious limitations with these analyses. These are basically correlations and thus do not demonstrate causation; results may be related to some other co-variate. More importantly, other possible explanations for production or biomass variability were not always thoroughly examined (Peters 1991). For example, in some of these papers it appeared that N was assumed, *a priori*, to be the key explanatory variable, while other factors, such as P or light availability, were not examined with equal rigor. One could make the case that sufficient data are now available to initiate a more comprehensive, comparative synthesis of estuarine primary production (both pelagic and benthic) that considers a wider selection of independent variables and uses dimensional scaling to the extent needed to ensure adequate comparability among different estuarine locations. In short, estuarine ecologists need to take a lesson from the limnologists who began doing just that some 30 years ago (e.g. Volleinweider 1976) and developed tools useful for both scientific understanding and for lake management.

Location	Independent Variable, X (units)	Dependent Variable, Y (units)	Predictive Equation	r² / n	Reference
Multiple estuaries	TN-loading (g N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	Phytoplk Prod (g C m ² y ¹)	Y = 25 + 13X	0.60 / 14	Boynton et al. 1982
San Francisco Bay other estuaries	Composite parameter X = f(B, Z_p , I_0)	$(\text{mg C}\text{m}^2\text{y}^1)$	Y = 15 + 0.73X	0.82/211	Cole and Cloern 1987
Narragansett Bay and Univ RI MERL	Composite parameter X = f(B, Z_p , I_0)	(g C m² y¹)	Y = 220 + 0.70X	0.82 / 1010	Keller 1988
Multiple estuaries and Univ RI MERL	DIN-loading (mol N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	(gC m ² y1)	logY = 0.44 log (X) + 2.33	0.93 / 19	Nixon et al. 1996
Multiple estuaries	TN-loading (g N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	(g C m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	Y = 244 + 175log(X)	0.36 / 51	Borum and Sand-Jensen 1996
Boston Harbor	Composite parameter X = f(B, Z_p , I_0)	$(mg C m^{-2} y^{-1})$	Y = 285 + 0.79X	0.66 / 12	Kelly and Doering 1997
Waquoit Bay system	Annual average DIN conc (µM)	(g C m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	Y = 60.9 + 13.96X	0.61 / 12	Valiela et al. 2001
Chesapeake Bay	$TN(x_1)$, $TP(x_2)$ load (kg mo ⁻¹)	(gC m ⁻² y ¹)	$Y = 335 + 18.9X_1 - 164X_2$	0.67 / 11	Harding et al. 2002
Multiple estuaries	DIN (mM m ⁻³); tidal range (m)	Phytoplk Biomass (ug l ⁻¹)	na; positive slope	na / 163	Monbet 1992
Multiple systems / MERL	DIN input (mmol m ⁻³ y ⁻¹)	(ug l ⁻¹)	na; positive slope	na / 34	Nixon 1992
Chesapeake Bay mesohaline region	River flow (m ³ d ⁻¹) (proxy for N-load)	(kg estuary ¹)	na; positive slope	0.70 / 34	Harding et al. 1992
Maryland lagoons	TN load (g N m ⁻² y ⁻¹)	(ug l ⁻¹)	Y = 16.9 + 0.70X	0.96 / 9	Boynton et al. 1996
Danish coastal waters	TN concentration (ug I ⁻¹)	(ug l ⁻¹)	na; positive slope	0.64 / 168	Borum 1996
Canadian estuaries	TN concentration (ug I ⁻¹)	(ug l ⁻¹)	log Y = -4.06 + 1.78 log X	0.72 / 15	Meeuwig 1999
Chesapeake Bay and Tributaries	Scaled TN load (mg N m ⁻² yr ⁻¹) (R _{time} , yrs) ⁻¹	(mg m ⁻²)	Y = 18.9 + 0.078X	0.82 / 17	Boynton and Kemp 2000 36
Danish estuaries	TN concentration (ug N I ⁻¹)	(ug l ⁻¹)	ln(Y) = 0.96 ln (X) - 4.19	0.30 / 1347	Nielsen et al. 2002

Table 5. A summary, by date of publication, of statistical models relating phytoplankton primary productivity or biomass to nitrogen (concentration or loading rates) or other variables. Abbreviations B, Zp, I₀, Z and R_{time} refer to phytoplankton biomass (as chlorophyll), euphotic depth, incident radiation, average system depth, and water residence time, respectively. na indicates information not available.

Nutrient Limitation

The question of nutrient limitation is fundamentally important for understanding controls on estuarine production and practically important for understanding how to mitigate eutrophication. In recent decades, nutrient limitation concepts have been better defined, explanations for differences in nutrient limitation among systems have been clarified, and experimental approaches have been organized in a heiarchial suite, from short-term small-scale bioassays, to intermediate-scale mesocosm studies, to whole-system biogeochemical analyses. The history of development of nutrient limitation studies have discussed the use of data from these studies for nutrient management in such areas as Chesapeake Bay and the Baltic Sea (Malone et al. 1993; Elmgren and Larsson 2001). The basic story is summarized below.

In the 1970's limnologists produced convincing evidence that for many lakes P was the important limiting nutrient (e.g., Schindler 1977). With the growing sense that estuaries and coastal waters were showing signs of serious nutrient-based eutrophication, the lake model was assumed to apply. However, contemporaneous studies in coastal areas (e.g., Ryther and Dunston 1971) suggested that N was more important than P in estuarine and coastal systems, and studies conducted during the 1980's generally supported that view (e.g., D'Elia et al. 1986; Caraco et al. 1987; Graneli 1987). Plimitation in lakes had been demonstrated at various scales of complexity/realism (i.e., bioassays, mesocosms and whole-lake experiments), but the same degree of testing had not been completed in estuaries and hence the N conclusion needed to be viewed with caution (Hecky and Kilham 1988). For many estuaries, however, long-term field studies (e.g., Jordan et al. 1991), multi-year, system-wide bioassay studies (e.g., Fisher et al. 1992, 1999), whole-year mesocosm experiments (D'Elia et al. 1986; Harrison et al. 1990; Oviatt et al. 1995) and some whole-system experiments (Conley et al. 2000; Elmgren and Larsson 2001; Paerl et al. 2004) have been completed, and the emerging story is more complete and complex.

In general, pelagic estuarine productivity tends to be limited by N; however, light, P, Si and other factors also play into the story. Nitrogen limitation appears to be more often the case in higher salinity than in tidal fresh waters and more intense in summer/fall than in winter/spring. These temporal/spatial patterns tend to parallel trends in dissolved inorganic nutrient ratios (DIN:DIP) in the water column which, in turn, are often reflective of the nutrient composition of inputs. Many authors indicate P limitation associated with high N: P ratios (>90, D'Elia et al. 1986; > 90, Caraco et al. 1987; >80, Harrison et al. 1990; >30, Pennoch and Sharp 1994;> 60, Kemp et al. 2005), a condition that often occurs during winter/spring in estuaries with significant freshwater inflows not dominated by sewage. Dodds (2003) reviewed use of DIN: DIP ratios as an indicator of nutrient limitation and argued for the use of TN:TP ratios rather than the inorganic ratios more commonly used in estuarine analyses. When N supply is extremely high (e.g., south China coast), P may be more generally limiting, but again, it seems probable that outside the plume of low salinity, N-rich water, limitation switches back to N (Harrison et al 1990). There is also some empirical evidence that P limitation is a more general feature of warm temperate embayments, such as those along the Gulf of Mexico (e.g., Murrell et al. 2002).

In overview, the emerging picture is one of a mosaic of general N limitation, but with substantial time-space regions where productivity is limited by P and other factors. This description is certainly consistent with a view of estuaries as gradient-rich, dynamic ecosystems that lie between the land and the sea and are influenced by both. Given the diversity of responses reported for estuaries, a uniform nutrient management directive does not seem possible. In large temperate coastal systems that include a full salinity gradient from tidal fresh to polyhaline, it may be prudent to consider a "duel-nutrient reduction strategy" wherein both N and P loads are reduced. This approach ensures that eutrophic conditions will be reduced both in tidal freshwater areas where P tends to be limiting, and in meso- and polyhaline areas where N is more important (Fisher et al. 1999; Conley 1999; Elmgren and Larsson 2001; Paerl et al. 2004).

Benthic Autotrophs and N

Although the majority of the discussion regarding N-productivity interactions has focused on phytoplankton, N is also an important control on benthic primary production in estuarine ecosystems. During the past two decades, there has been much research examining how nutrient additions have contributed to global seagrass decline (e.g., Kemp et al. 1983; Duarte 1995). The cause-effect linkage of seagrass decline and nutrient additions typically involves increased phytoplankton standing stocks and associated reduced water transparency (e.g., Nielsen et al. 2002). In addition, in some areas, enhanced epiphytic growth on seagrass leaves further reduces light availability, eventually leading to loss of this community (e.g., Twilley et al. 1985; Taylor et al. 1995).

In other shallow ecosystems, macroalgae play a prominent role in the response to N addition: as nutrient inputs are increased, macroalgae first replaces seagrasses and then with further nutrient increases, macroalgae are themselves replaced by dense phytoplankton stocks (Valiela et al. 1992). This sequence is modified by the influence of water residence time and the nutrient buffering influence of adjacent fringing ecosystems (e.g., Valiela et al. 2001). Bowen and Valiela (2001) traced historical changes in N loads to a cluster of coastal embayments and reported a doubling of loads between 1938 and 1990, progressive increases in phytoplankton and macroalgae stocks and a decline in seagrass coverage. It is somewhat dispiriting to learn that seagrass declines, at least in these coastal systems, were associated with N loads as low as 3 g N m⁻² y⁻¹, rates far lower than for many estuaries (Fig. 9). A series of papers concerning nitrogen effects on autotroph assembledges in Danish estuaries were especially comprehensive considering water residence times, nutrient demands, growth rates, C:N:P ratios and light requirements. (Sand-Jensen and Borum 1991; Borum 1996; Borum and Sand-Jensen 1996; Pedersen and Borum 1996). These studies found that nutrient enrichment changed plant community composition such that slow growing macro-algae were replaced by fast growing algal species, both of which rely primarily on water column rather than sediment nutrient sources.

In overview, several things seem clear. Very high primary production rates can be maintained in benthic dominated systems (Fig. 20, inset) with sufficient light reaching the bottom. Benthic autotrophs (microalgae, macroalgae and seagrass) are capable of obtaining N needed for growth either from sediment porewaters or from overlying water column pools depending on concentration gradients. There appears to be a generally predictable sequence relating predominant autotrophic groups to nutrient enrichment level. This sequence is likely related to competition for nutrients and light, where seagrasses dominate at one end of the gradient (low nutrients) because of their ability to tap deep sediment porewater nutrients, and phytoplankton dominate the other end (high nutrients) because of their ability, at high densities, to shade out benthic autotrophs.

Nitrogen and Secondary Production

Inputs and transformations of N and other nutrients directly influence production of all populations of consumer organisms, be they small or large. On one hand, nutrient additions generally result in increased primary production, which propagates forward to support increased growth of consumer populations, including fish. The amplitude of nutrient enrichment effects, however, tends to be damped as it is passed from one consumer population to the next, with responses being relatively large for primary producers, modest for herbivores, and small for topcarnivores (e.g., Micheli 1999). On the other hand, spatial or temporal shifts in the abundance of fish and other consumers can result in marked effects on nutrient transport and recycling processes (e.g., Kitchell et al. 1979). Primary production is passed on to diverse consumer organisms through feeding interactions and food-webs (e.g., Lindemann 1942, Clarke 1946). These webs can often be described with equivalent straight food-chains, where plants are consumed by herbivores, which are consumed by first-level carnivores, and so on (Ulanowicz and Kemp 1979). Food-webs and food-chains are considered to be controlled by "bottom-up" or "top-down processes," depending on the relative responses to changes in nutrient inputs at the bottom or changes in carnivorous predation at the top, respectively (e.g., Carpenter et al. 1985). Here, we address the double-edged question of how secondary production and nutrient cycling processes are related. We focus on N because it tends to be the limiting nutrient for primary production on annual time scales in most estuaries.

Effects of N Enrichment on Secondary Production.

It has been suggested by Caddy (1993, 2000) that nutrient enrichment affects secondary producers through a range of mechanisms that follow a sequence of three stages. At relatively low nutrient loading rates, the first stage involves enhanced production of demersal and pelagic species as a result of increased food availability. In the second stage, at moderate nutrient loading rates, benthic invertebrates and demersal fish tend to decline but planktonic consumers and pelagic fish species continue to increase with more nutrient additions. In the hypothesized third stage of this model, there is a general decline in total production of invertebrates and fish as water quality and habitat conditions become severely degraded. There is substantial direct evidence for the first two stages of this proposed sequence, while evidence for the third stage is more limited and indirect.

Comparative analysis of fisheries landings and primary production among diverse coastal systems (Nixon and Buckley 2002) reveals a significant positive relationship (Fig. 21a). This may illustrate Caddy's first stage, where increasing inputs of nutrients support higher rates of primary production that in turn support higher rates of fish production and harvest. At least for herbivorous fish such as Atlantic menhaden, this relationship is supported by controlled experiments (Keller et al. 1990) and bioenergetic models (Luo et al. 2001) which indicate that production of estuarine fish tends to increase with nutrient enrichment. Although this

relationship is impressive, there are key underlying assumptions which need to be considered. Perhaps the most obvious of these is the assumption that fisheries harvest provides a dependable index of fish production. The relationship (Fig. 21a) also suggests that exploited fish populations are generally food-limited and relatively unaffected by fishing mortality, conclusions that are difficult to reconcile with fundamental principles of fisheries science (e.g., Chesney et al. 2000). Alternative explanations include the possibility that human population density (and associated nutrient loads) and fishing pressure are highly correlated when comparing different estuaries or regions. Consequently, densely populated coastal regions, which tend to have eutrophic estuarine waters and high rates of primary production, also support heavily exploited fisheries, often dominated by species feeding at lower trophic levels (e.g., Pauly et al. 1998). Nevertheless, the strength of this relationship and the fact that similar patterns have been reported previously for lakes are undeniable (e.g., Nixon 1988).



Figure 21. Comparative analyses of fisheries characteristics in relation to trophic status of estuarine and coastal ecosystems around the world: (a) fisheries harvest versus primary production (re-drawn from Nixon and Buckley 2002); (b) ratio of pelagic-to-demersal fish caught in fishery plotted versus phytoplankton chlorophyll concentration (natural logarithm of both x and y variables). Figure 21(b) was re-drawn from deLeiva Moreno et al. (2000) with Chesapeake Bay data added for two time periods.

Consistent with Caddy's second stage, recent data indicate that continued nutrient enrichment causes estuarine benthic habitats to become degraded, thereby contributing to marked shifts in food-web structure (e.g., Kemp et al. 2005). For example, increased nutrient loading leads to decreased water clarity, which tends to cause loss of seagrass habitat in shallow areas (e.g., Kemp et al. 1983, Duarte 1995) and related reductions in fish and invertebrate production (e.g., Lubbers et al. 1990). In some coastal lagoons, the loss of seagrass may be followed by outbreaks of dense benthic macroalgae stands with episodic hypoxic events that further degrade these habitats for fish and benthos (e.g., Deegan 2002). Enhanced phytoplankton production from N enrichment can also degrade deeper estuarine habitats by inducing prolonged seasonal hypoxia and anoxia in stratified water columns (e.g., Hagy et al. 2004). As a result of this deepwater hypoxia, the behavior of bottom-dwelling animal populations is altered (e.g., Phil et al. 1991, Brandt 1993) and their abundance, diversity and production tend to decline (Howell and Simpson 1994, Kemp et al. 2005). A major consequence of benthic habitat degradation is a general switch from food-webs dominated by demersal pathways under low N loading to ones dominated by pelagic pathways in more eutrophic estuaries (e.g., de Leiva Moreno et al. 2000). It appears that this trend is often reflected in fisheries harvests with the ratio of pelagic-todemersal (P/D) catch being directly related to indices of nutrient loading such as phytoplankton abundance (Fig. 21b). Time-series fisheries data in Chesapeake Bay from 1960 to 2000 reveal a trend of generally increasing P/D ratio that parallels increases in nutrient loading (Fig. 21b, Kemp et al. 2005).

There is also evidence that N and P enrichment can significantly alter coastal ecosystems through changes in trophic structure that are not related to degradation of benthic habitat. With coastal eutrophication N and P loading tends to increase more rapidly than Si inputs, thereby resulting in decreasing Si:DIN ratios, limiting diatom growth and allowing smaller algal cells to dominate (e.g., Turner 2001). The preponderance of smaller food particles reduces the efficiency by which primary production is transferred to herbivorous zooplankton and tends to increase the relative fraction of phytoplankton production that sinks to the benthos or enters the pelagic microbial loop (Kitchell and Carpenter 1993). In addition to declining Si:DIN ratios, another mechanism causing a larger fraction of phytoplankton production to shift from zooplankton grazing to microbial degradation with nutrient enrichment involves predation-limitation on the growth of herbivore populations (e.g., Kemp et al. 2001). Modeling studies suggest that this shift from metazoan grazers to microbial decomposers may be a general feature of all pelagic food-webs where exploited fisheries cause strong top-down control (Brooks et al. 2005). Indeed, many investigators provide evidence to suggest that eutrophication tends to cause this shift from herbivorous grazing food-chains to microbial pathways (e.g., Baird et al. 2004). Although detailed mechanistic understanding of these trophic responses to nutrient enrichment are generally lacking, recent evidence suggests that some systems may be currently experiencing Caddy's third stage (e.g., Yamamoto 2003).

Effects of Estuarine Animals on N Budgets and Cycles

In addition to being affected by N loading to coastal waters, many animal populations in estuaries and other environments markedly influence the balance and cycling of N and other nutrients (Kitchell et al. 1979). For example, respiration and excretion by Atlantic menhaden traveling in large schools can significantly deplete oxygen and increase ammonium concentrations in estuarine waters (Oviatt et al. 1972). In pelagic estuarine mesocosm

experiments, fish have been shown to enhance phytoplankton growth both through top-down effects on zooplankton grazing and through bottom-up effects of nutrient excretion (e.g., Micheli 1999). In most cases, however, such large direct effects of fish on estuarine N dynamics are confined to dense schools of herbivores or planktivores. In some estuaries with productive fisheries, harvest can account for a modest loss ($\approx 2-8$ %) of N inputs to the system, and an additional N sink of similar, or even larger magnitude, may be associated with emigration of fish using the estuary as a seasonal feeding ground (e.g., Deegan 1993, Boynton et al. 1995).

Benthic invertebrate populations can also influence N cycling in estuarine environments. As discussed earlier in this chapter, benthic invertebrates living as deposit-feeding infauna can influence nutrient cycling both through ventillation and bioturbation of sediments and through direct excretion and related metabolic processes. Reefs and other dense populations of benthic filter-feeders can, however, exert substantial influence on estuarine N cycling and transformation processes via a range of mechanisms. It is well established that filtration by clams, mussels and ovsters can substantially reduce phytoplankton populations in overlying waters (e.g., Cloern 1982). In many estuaries, native benthic filter-feeding populations have been depleted to levels that currently have little impact on plankton dynamics (e.g., Newell 1988). In other estuaries, however, non-native species of benthic bivalves have grown to sufficient densities to readily control phytoplankton abundance in overlying waters (Cohen et al. 1984). In a few coastal systems, healthy bivalve populations can still control plankton communities under certain hydrologic conditions (e.g., Møhlenberg 1995). Similar densities of benthic bivalves, such as hard clams, have been shown to cause significant increases in rates of sediment N cycling through both direct excretion and increased turn-over of organic matter (e.g., Doering et al. 1987). Under extreme conditions of intense benthic filtration associated with commercial culture, rates of N and P recycling can be greatly enhanced (e.g., Souchu et al. 2001) stimulating growth of benthic macro-algae (e.g., Rafaelli et al. 1998). In contrast, the eastern oyster, which maintains high clearance rates that are relatively independent of food concentration, produces large amounts of particulate N and P as psuedofeces (Newell et al. 2004). Much of this pseudofecal material is deposited initially in shallow areas, where it supports enhanced production of deposit-feeding animals and increased nutrient burial (Newell et al. 2004). In addition, recent experiments indicate that such oyster bio-deposits may stimulate N₂ loss via coupled nitrification-denitrification (Newell et al. 2002). Although many questions remain, we are beginning to understand how heterotrophic organisms from bacteria to fish are linked together through diverse pathways and relationships in estuarine N cycles.

Summary and Future Directions

We indicated at the beginning of this paper that the last two decades have seen significant advances in understanding many aspects of N in estuaries. Scientific progress is, perhaps, difficult to comprehend on times-scales less than decades because the slow, incremental adaptation to new or refined ideas tends to mute our sense of progress. Our review of the estuarine N literature from the twenty years since the publication of Carpenter and Capone (1983) has revealed important new developments in this field. A vastly expanded pool of measurements, ranging from simple N concentrations to far more sophisticated rate measurements, supports this conclusion. Improved measurement techniques and wider access to new instrumentation have also contributed to this progress. Twenty years ago, there were simply too few observations reported to develop robust generalizations about spatial and temporal patterns and controls on N cycling

processes. In addition, a matured understanding of nutrient-limitation of primary production in estuarine systems during this time has contributed to development of science-based nutrient and eutrophication abatement programs in many estuarine systems.

Synthesis of these diverse observations into useful estuarine models of N transport, transformation, assimilation, and storage has, however, made less progress during this time period (e.g., Nixon et al. 1996; Cloern 2001). Using the broad, ecosystem scale perspective adopted in this paper, we emphasize the continued need for more rate measurements, data syntheses and predictive models of estuarine N-cycling. Most estuarine research and monitoring programs have focused on measuring N concentrations, with much less emphasis on rate measurements that are needed to assess system dynamics. For example, N loss terms due to burial, denitrification and export are rarely measured at annual time-scales for whole systems, while other key rate processes such as primary production rates of benthic autotrophs have been virtually ignored until very recently (McGlathery Chapter IV.O). An important goal is to find ways to incorporate rate measurements into long-term, system-wide monitoring programs rather than relegate such measurements to research programs focused at smaller and shorter scales. For many coastal systems worldwide, vast and expanding water quality data sets call for integrated synthesis focused on N cycling and related issues. Nutrient budgets represent one type of synthesis that requires a variety of processes to be linked for computing the sources and fates of N in estuaries. The challenge is to develop multiyear N-budgets for diverse estuarine systems using consistent protocols (e.g., Gordon et al. 1996). Future comparative analyses of these budgets will contribute to a deeper understanding of physical, geological and climatological controls on estuarinel N dynamics.

Finally, we suggest that innovative conceptual, analytical and forecasting models need to be developed and tested against field and experimental observations. Recent conceptual schemes proposed to describe and explain ecological interactions associated with estuarine eutrophication (Cloern 2001, Kemp et al. 2005) need to be quantified toward the goal of developing reliable numerical models for testing our scientific understanding and for providing useful forecasts for estuarine nutrient management. It is particularly important that conceptual models relating N loading to fish production and harvest (e.g, Caddy 1993, Nixon and Buckley 2002) be quantified, tested and improved to support ecosystem based management of water quality and fisheries. It is clear that current estuarine science is rich with empirical observations but relatively poor on integrated understanding. Nowhere is the need to apply such integrated, predictive scientific knowledge more severe than in the world's estuarine regions, where disturbance associated with densely populated human societies threatens the quality of these productive ecosystems.

Literature Cited

Affourtit, J., J. P. Zehr, and H. W. Paerl. 2001. Distribution of nitrogen-fixing microorganisms along the Neuse River estuary, North Carolina. Microb. Ecol. 41: 114-123.

Antia, N. J., P. J. Harrison and L. Oliveira. 1991. Phycological reviews: the role of dissolved organic nitrogen in phytoplankton nutrition, cell biology, and ecology. Phycologia 30: 1-89

Bailey, E. 2005. Measurements of nutrient and oxygen fluxes in estuarine and coastal marine sediments: Literature review and data report. Technical Report Series Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 05-091. University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD 36p. http://www.gonzo.cbl.umces.edu/N%20Chapter%20Flux.pdf

Baird, D., R.R. Christian, C.H. Peterson, G.A. Johnson. 2004. Consequences of hypoxia on estuarine ecosystem function: Energy diversion from consumers to microbes. Ecol. Appl. 14:805-822.

Banta, G. T., A. E. Giblin, J. E. Hobbie and J. Tucker. 1995. Benthic respiration and nitrogen release in Buzzards Bay, Massachusetts. J. Mar. Res. 53: 107-135.

Berman, T. and D. A. Bronk. 2003. Dissolved organic nitrogen: a dynamic participant in aquatic ecosystems. Aquat Microb Ecol 31: 279-305.

Boicourt, W.C. 1983. The detection and analysis of the lateral circulation in the Potomac River estuary. Maryland Power Plant Siting Research Program Publication No. 66. Annapolis, MD 209 pp.

Borum, J. 1996. Shallow waters and land/sea boundaries, p. 179-203. In: B. B. Jorgensen and K. Richardson (eds.) Coastal and Estuarine Studies No. 52, Eutrophication in Coastal Marine Ecosystems. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.

Borum, J. and K. Sand-Jensen. 1996. Is total primary production in shallow coastal marine waters stimulated by nitrogen loading? Oikos 76(2): 406-410.

Bowen, L. J. and I. Valiela. 2001. The ecological effects of urbanization of coastal watersheds: historical increases in nitrogen loads and eutrophication of Waquoit Bay estuaries. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58: 1489-1500.

Boyer, E. W., C. L. Goodale, N. A. Jaworski, and R. W. Howarth. 2002. Anthropogenic nitrogen sources and relationships to riverine nitrogen export in the northeastern USA. Biogeochemistry 57/58: 137-169.

Boynton, W.R., W.M. Kemp and C.W. Keefe. 1982. A comparative analysis of nutrients and other factors influencing estuarine phytoplankton production, p. 69-90. In: V.S. Kennedy (ed.) Estuarine Comparisons. Academic Press, New York

Boynton, W. R., L. Murray, W. M. Kemp, J. D. Hagy, C. Stokes, F. Jacobs, J. Bowers, S. Souza, B. Krinsky, and J. Seibel. 1992. Maryland's Coastal Bays: An assessment of aquatic ecosystems, pollutant loadings, and management options. Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 93-053. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD

Boynton, W. R., J. H. Garber, R. Summers and W. M. Kemp. 1995. Inputs, transformations, and transport of nitrogen and phosphorus in Chesapeake Bay and selected tributaries. Estuaries 18: 285-314.

Boynton, W.R., J. D. Hagy, L.Murray, C. Stokes and W. M. Kemp. 1996. A comparative analysis of eutrophication patterns in a temperate coastal lagoon. Estuaries 19(2B)408-421.

Boynton, W. R. and F. M. Rohland. 1998. Ecosystem processes component (EPC): Interpretive Report No. 15. Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 98-073a. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory Solomons, Maryland p. 217.

Boynton, W. R., N. H. Burger, R. M. Stankelis, F. M. Rohland, J. D. Hagy, III, J. M. Frank, L. L. Matteson, and M. M. Weir. 1998. An environmental evaluation of Back River with selected data from Patapsco River. Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 98-112b. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD 90p.

Boynton, W. R. and W. M. Kemp. 2000. Influence of river flow and nutrient loads on selected ecosystem processes: A synthesis of Chesapeake Bay data, p. 269-298. In: J. E. Hobbie (ed.) Estuarine Science: A Synthetic Approach to Research and Practice. Island Press, Washington, DC.

Brandt, S.B. 1993. The effect of thermal fronts on fish growth: A bioenergetic evaluation of food and temperature. Estuaries. 16:142-159.

Brett, J. 1987. Goldilocks and the Three Bears. The Putnam and Grosset Group, New York.

Bronk, D. A. 2002. Dynamics of organic nitrogen, p. 153-247. In: D. A. Hansell and C. A. Carlson (eds). Biogeochemistry of marine dissolved organic matter. Academic Press, San Diego

Brooks, M.T., R. R. Hood, and W. M. Kemp. 2005. Nonlinear interactions between predation pressure and nutrient inputs in simulated estuarine food-webs. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. (draft manuscript)

Bricker, S. B., C. G. Clement, D. E. Pirhalla, S. P. Orlando, and D. R. G. Farrow. 1999. National Estuarine Eutrophication Assessment: Effects of nutrient enrichment In the nation's estuaries. NOAA, National Ocean Service, Special Projects Office and the National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science. Silver Spring, MD: 71pp.

Burdige, D. J. and S. Zheng. 1998. The biogeochemical cycling of dissolved organic nitrogen in estuarine sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. 43: 1796-1813.

Burns, J.A., J.P. Zehr, D.G. Capone. 2002. Nitrogen-Fixing Phylotypes of Chesapeake Bay and Neuse River Estuary Sediments. Microbial Ecology 44: 336-343.

Caddy, J. F. 1993. Towards a comparative evaluation of human impact on fishery ecosystems of enclosed and semi-enclosed seas. Rev. Fish. Sci. 1: 57-95

Caddy, J. F. 2000. Marine catchment basin versus impacts of fisheries on semi-enclosed seas. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57: 628-640.

Caffrey, J.M. 2004. Factors controlling net ecosystem metabolism in U.S. estuaries. Estuaries 27: 90-101.

Capone, D. G., S. E. Dunham, S. G. Horrigan, and L. E. Duguay. 1992. Microbial nitrogen transformations in unconsolidated coral reef sediments. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 80: 75-88.

Caraco, N. A. Tamse, O. Boutros and I. Valiela. 1987. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton growth in brackish coastal ponds. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 44: 473-476.

Carmichael, R. H., B. Annett, and I. Valiela. 2004. Nitrogen loading to Pleasant Bay, Cape Cod: application of models and stable isotopes to detect incipient nutrient enrichment of estuaries. Marine Pollution Bulletin 48: 137-143.

Carpenter, E. J. and D. G. Capone (eds). 1983. Nitrogen in the Marine Environment. Academic Press, NY. 900 p.

Carpenter, E. J. and D. G. Capone. In Press. Nitrogen fixation in the marine environment, p. xx-xx. In: D. G. Capone, D. A. Bronk, M. R. Mulholland and E. J. Carpenter (eds.). Nitrogen in the Marine Environment. **PUBLISHER, LOCATION**

Carpenter, S.R., J. F. Kitchell, and J. R. Hodgson. 1985. Cascading trophic interactions and lake productivity. BioScience 35: 634-639

Castro, M. S., C. T. Driscoll, T. E. Jordan, W. G. Reay, and W. R. Boynton. 2003. Sources of nitrogen to estuaries in the United States. Estuaries 26(3): 803-814.

Chao, S.-Y., W.C. Boicourt, and H.V.C. Wang. 1996. Three-layered circulation in reverse estuaries. Continental Shelf Research 16:1379-1397.

Chen, X., S.E. Lohrenz, D.A. Wiesenburg. 2000. Distribution and controlling mechanisms of primary production on the Louisiana- Texas continental shelf. Journal of Marine Systems 25: 179-207.

Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program. 2004. US EPA Chesapeake Bay Program, Annapolis, MD (<u>http://www.chesapeakebay.net</u>)

Chesney, E.J., D.M. Baltz and R.G. Thomas. 2000. Louisiana estuarine and coastal fisheries and habitats: Perspectives from a fish's eye view. Ecol. Appl. 10: 350-366.

Clarke, G. L. 1946. Dynamics of production in a marine area. Ecol. Monogr. 16: 321-335.

Cloern, J.E. 1982. Does the benthos control phytoplankton biomass in south San Francisco Bay? Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 9:191-202.

Cloern, J. E. 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 210: 223-253.

Cohen, R., P. Dresler, E. Phillips and R. Cory. 1984. The effect of the Asiatic clam, *Corbicula fluminea*, on phytoplankton of the Potomac River, Maryland. Limnol. Oceanogr. 29:170-180.

Cole, B. E. and J.E. Cloern. 1987. An empirical model for estimating phytoplankton productivity in estuaries. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 36: 299-305.

Conley, D. J. 1999. Biogeochemical nutrient cycles and nutrient management strategies. Hydrobiologia 410:87-96.

Conley, D. J., H. Kaas, F. Mohlenberg, B. Rasmussen, and J. Windolf. 2000. Characteristics of Danish estuaries. Estuaries 23(6): 820-837.

Costanza, R., A. Voinov, R. Boumans, T. Maxwell, F. Villa, L. Wainger, and H. Voinov. 2002. Integrated ecological economic modeling of the Patuxent River watershed, Maryland. Ecol. Monographs 72(2): 203-231.

Cowan, J. L. and W. R. Boynton. 1996. Sediment-water oxygen and nutrient exchanges along the longitudinal axis of Chesapeake Bay: Seasonal patterns, controlling factors and ecological significance. Estuaries 19(3): 562-580.

Deegan, L. A. 1993. Nutrient and energy transport between estuaries and coastal marine ecosystems by fish migration. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 50: 74-79.

Deegan, L.A. 2002. Lessons learned: The effects of nutrient enrichment on the support of nekton by seagrass and salt marsh ecosystems. Estuaries. 25(4b):727-742

Degobbis, D. and M. Gilmartin. 1990. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and biogenic silicon budgets for the northern Adriatic Sea. Oceanol. Acta. 13:31-45.

D'Elia, C.F., J.G. Sanders, W.R. Boynton. 1986. Nutrient enrichment studies in a coastal plain estuary: phytoplankton growth in large-scale, continuous cultures. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 43: 397-386.

D'Elia, C. F., W. R. Boynton and J. G. Sanders. 2003. A watershed perspective on nutrient enrichment, science and policy in the Patuxent River, Maryland: 1960-2000. Estuaries 26: 171-185.

de Leiva Moreno, J. I., V. N. Agostini, J. F. Caddy, and F. Carocci. 2000. Is the pelagicdemersal ratio from fishery landings a useful proxy for nutrient availability? A preliminary data exploration for the semi-enclosed seas around Europe. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 57:1091-1102

DiToro, D. M. 2001. Sediment Flux Modeling. John Wiley and Sons, NY. vii-624.

Dodds, W. K. 2003. Misuse of inorganic N and soluble reactive P concentrations to indicate nutrient status of surface waters. J. N. Am. Benthol. Soc. 22(2): 171-181.

Doering, P., J. Kelly, C. Oviatt, and T. Sowers. 1987. Effect of the hard clam *Mercenaria mercenaria* on benthic fluxes of inorganic nutrients and gases. 94: 377-383.

Duarte, C. M. 1995. Submerged aquatic vegetation in relation to different nutrient regimes. Ophelia 41: 87-112.

Elmgren, R. and U. Larsson. 2001. Nitrogen and the Baltic Sea: Managing nitrogen in relation to phosphorus. The Scientific World 1(S2):371-377.

Engqvist, A. 1996. Long-term nutrient balances in the eutrophication of the Himmerfjarden estuary. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 42: 483-507.

Eyre, B. D. and A. J. P. Ferguson. 2002. Comparison of carbon production and decomposition, benthic nutrient fluxes and denitrification in seagrass, phytoplankton, benthic microalgae-and macroalgae-dominated warm-temperate Australian lagoons. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 229: 43-59.

Eyre, B. D. and L. J. McKee. 2002. Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus budgets for a shallow subtropical coastal embayment (Moreton Bay, Australia). Limnol. Oceanogr. 47(4):1043-1055.

Fisher, S.G., and G.E. Likens. 1973. Energy flow in Bear Brook, New Hampshire: an integrative approach to stream ecosystem metabolism. Ecol. Monogr. 43: 421-439.

Fisher, T. R., E. R. Peele, J. A. Ammerman, and L. W. Harding. 1992. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in Chesapeake Bay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 82: 51-63.

Fisher, T. R., A. B. Gustafson, K. Sellner, R. Lacouture, L. W. Haas, R. L. Wetzel, R. Magnien, D. Everitt, B. Michaels, and R. Karrh. 1999. Spatial and temporal variation of resource limitation In Chesapeake Bay. Mar. Biol. 133: 763-778.

Flint, R. W. and D. Kamykowski. 1984. Benthic nutrient regeneration in South Texas coastal waters. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Sci. 18: 221-230.

Frank, J., H. Soulen, R. Stankelis, P. Smail, K. Blodnikar, C. Clark, J. Frank, N. Kaumeyer, and C. Zimmermann. 2007. A summary of water quality conditions in surface waters of selected USA estuaries; a community data set. Technical Report Series Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 07-000. University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD pgs. 1-40. http://www.gonzo.cbl.umces.edu

Froelich, P. N. 1988. Kinetic control of dissolved phosphate in natural rivers and estuaries: a primer on the phosphate buffer mechanism. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33: 649-668.

Gordon, D. C., P. Boudreau, K. H. Mann, J.-E. Ong, W. Silvert, S. V. Smith G. Wattayakorn, F. Wulff and T. Yanagi. 1996. LOICZ Biogeochemical modeling guidelines. Land-Ocean Interactions in the Coastal Zone (LOICZ) R&S 95-5. LOICZ, Texel, The Netherlands.

Graf, G., W. Bengtsson, U. Diesner, R. Schule, and H. Theede. 1982. Benthic response to sedimentation of a spring phytoplankton bloom: Process and budget. Mar. Biol. 67:201-208.

Graneli, E. 1987. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton biomass in a brackish water bay highly influenced by river discharge. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 25: 555-565.

Greene, S. 2005a. A summary of denitrification rates in aquatic ecosystems; a community data set. Technical Report Series Ref. No. [UMCES]CBL 05-094 University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD pgs. 1-29.

http://www.gonzo.cbl.umces.edu/NewFiles/Denitrification%20Report.pdf

Greene, S. 2005b. Nutrient removal by tidal fresh and oligohaline marshes in a Chesapeake Bay tributary. M.S. Thesis. University of Maryland, College Park, MD 149p.

Greening, H. and B. D. DeGrove. 2001. Implementing a voluntary, nonregulatory approach to nitrogen management in Tampa Bay, FL: A public/private partnership, pp. 378-383.In: J. Galloway, E. Cowling, J. W. Erisman, J. Wisniewski, and C. Jordan (eds.)

Optimizing Nitrogen Management in Food and Energy Production and Environmental Protection. Contributed papers from the 2nd international nitrogen conference. A.A. Balkema Publishers, Lisse.

Hager, S. W. and L. E. Schemel. 1992. Sources of nitrogen and phosphorus to northern San Francisco Bay. Estuaries 15(1)40-52.

Hagy, J. D., L. P. Sanford, and W. R. Boynton. 2000. Estimation of net physical transport and hydraulic residence times for a coastal plain estuary using box models. Estuaries 23: 328-340.

Hagy, J. D., W. R. Boynton, C. W. Keefe and K. V. Wood. 2004. Hypoxia in Chesapeake Bay, 1950-2001: Long-term changes in relation to nutrient loading and river flow. Estuaries 27(4): 634-658.

Hammond, D. E., C. Fuller, D. Harmon, B. Hartman, M. Korosec, L. G. Miller, R. Rea, S. Warren, W. Berelson and S. Hager. 1985. Benthic fluxes in San Francisco Bay. Hydrobiologia 129: 69-90.

Harding, L. W., Jr., M. Leffler, and G. E. Mackiernan. 1992. Dissolved oxygen in the Chesapeake Bay: A scientific consensus. A Maryland Sea Grant Publication, College Park, MD.

Harding, L. W., Jr., and E. S. Perry. 1997. Long-term increase in phytoplankton biomass in Chesapeake Bay, 1950-1994. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 157: 39-52.

Harding, L. W., Jr., M. E. Mallonee, and E. S. Perry. 2002. Toward a predictive understanding of primary productivity in a temperate, partially stratified estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 55: 437-463.

Hargrave, B. T. 1973. Coupling carbon flow through some pelagic and benthic communities. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 30: 1317-1326.

Harrison, W. G. 1980. Nutrient regeneration and primary production in the sea. In: P. G. Falkowski (ed.). Primary productivity in the sea. Plenum, NY, p. 433-460.

Harrison, P.J., M.H. Hu, Y.P. Yang and X. Lu. 1990. Phosphate limitation in estuarine and coastal waters of China. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. 140: 79-87.

Hecky, R.E. and P. Kilham. 1988. Nutrient limitation of phytoplankton in freshwater and marine environments: A review of recent evidence on the effects of enrichment. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33: 796-822.

Henriksen, K and W. M. Kemp. 1988. Nitrification in estuarine and coastal marine sediments: Methods, patterns and regulating factors. In: T. H. Blackburn and J. Sorensen (eds.). Nitrogen cycling in coastal marine environments. John Wiley, NY, p. 207-249.

Herbert, R.A. 1999. Nitrogen cycling in coastal marine ecosystems. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 23:563-590.

Hopkinson, C. S. and J. J. Vallino. 1995. The relationship among Man's activities in the watersheds and estuaries: a model of runoff effects on patterns of estuarine community metabolism. Estuaries 18: 598-621.

Hopkinson, C. S., Jr., A. E. Giblin, J. Tucker, and R. H. Garritt. 1999. Benthic metabolism and nutrient cycling along an estuarine salinity gradient. Estuaries 22(4): 863-881.

Howarth, Robert W., Jonathan J. Cole. 1985. Molybdenum availability, nitrogen limitation, and phytoplankton growth in natural waters. Science, New Series, Vol. 229, No. 4714 pp.653-655.

Howarth, Robert W., Roxanne Marino, J. Lane and J. J. Cole. 1988a. Nitrogen fixation in freshwater, estuarine, and marine ecosystems. 1. Rates and importance. Limnol. Oceanogr 33: 669-687.

Howarth, Robert W., Roxanne Marino, Jonathan J. Cole. 1988b. Nitrogen fixation in freshwater, estuarine, and marine ecosystems. 2. Biochemical Controls. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33: 688-701.

Howarth, R. W. 1988. Nutrient limitation of net primary production in marine ecosystems. A. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 19: 89-110.

Howarth, R. W., R. Marino, R. Garritt, and D. Sherman. 1992. Ecosystem respiration and organic carbon processing in a large, tidally influenced river: the Hudson River. Biogeochemistry 16: 83-102.

Howarth, R. W., E. W. Boyer, W. J. Pabich and J. N. Galloway. 2002. Nitrogen use in the United States from 1961-2000 and potential future trends. Ambio 31(2): 88-96.

Howell, P. and D. Simpson. 1994. Abundance of marine resources in relation to dissolved oxygen in Long Island Sound. Estuaries. 17:394-402.

Jaworski, N. A. 1981. Sources of nutrients and the scale of eutriphication problems in estuaries. pp 83-110. In: B. J. Neilson and L. E. Cronin (eds.) Estuaries and Nutrients. Humana Press. Clifton, NJ.

Jassby, A.D., J. E. Cloern, and T.M. Powell. 1993. Organic carbon sources and sinks in San Francisco Bay: variability induced by river flow. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser 95: 39-54.

Jenkins, M.C. and W.M. Kemp. 1984. The coupling of nitrification an denitrification in two estuarine sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. 29: 609-619.

Jonge, V.F. de, W.R. Boynton, C.F. D'Elia, R. Elmgren, and B.L. Welsh. 1994. Responses to developments in eutrophication in four different North Atlantic estuarine systems. pp 179-196. In: Dyer, K.R. and R.J. Orth (eds.). Changes in Fluxes in Estuaries: Implications from Sciences to Management. Olsen and Olsen, Fredensborg, Denmark.

Jensen, M. H., E. Lomstein, and J. Sorensen. 1990. Benthic NH_4^+ and NO_3^- flux following sedimentation of a spring phytoplankton bloom in Aarhus Bight, Denmark. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 61: 87-96.

Jensen, H. S., P. B. Mortensen, F. O. Andersen, and A. Jensen. 1995. Phosphorus cycling in a coastal marine sediment, Aarhus Bay, Denmark. Limnol. Oceanogr. 40(5): 908-917.

Johnstone, J. 1908. Conditions of Life in the Sea. Cambridge University Press. Reprinted by Arno Press, New York. 1977. 332 p.

Jordan, T.E., D.L. Correll, J. Miklas and D.E. Weller. 1991. Long-term trends in estuarine nutrients and chlorophyll, and short-term effects of variation in watershed discharge. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 75: 121-132.

Jordan, T. E. and D. E. Weller. 1996. Human contributions to terrestrial nitrogen flux. BioScience 46(9): 655-664.

Jordan, T. E., D. E. Weller, and D. L. Correll. 2003. Sources of nutrient inputs to the Patuxent River estuary. Estuaries 26 (2A): 226-243.

Joye, S.B. and J.T. Hollibaugh. 1995. Influence of sulfide inhibition of nitrification on nitrogen regeneration in sediments. Science 270(5236):623-625.

Kanneworff, E. and H. Christensen. 1986. Benthic community respiration in relation to sedimentation of phytoplankton in the Oresund. Ophelia 26:269-284.

Keller, A. A. 1988. Estimating phytoplankton productivity from light availability and biomass in the MERL mesocosms and Narragansett Bay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 45:159-168.

Keller, A.A., P.H. Doering, S.P. Kelly, and B. K. Sullivan. 1990. Growth of juvenile Atlantic menhaden, *Brevortia tyrannus* (Pisces: Clupeidae) in MERL mesocosms: Effects of eutrophication. Limnol. Oceanogr. 35:109-122.

Kelly, J. R. 1998. Quantification of the potential role of ocean nutrient loading to Boston Harbor, Massachusetts, USA. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 173: 53-65.

Kelly, J. R. 2001. Chapter 9: Nitrogen effects on coastal marine ecosystems, p. 207-251. In: R. F. Follett and J. L. Hatfield (eds.). Nitrogen in the Environment: Sources, Problems, and Management. Elsevier Science B.V.

Kelly, J. R. and P. H. Doering. 1997. Monitoring and modeling primary production in coastal waters: studies in Massachusetts Bay 1992-1994. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser 148: 155-168.

Kemp, W. M., W. R. Boynton, R. R. Twilley, J. C. Stevenson, and J. C. Means. 1983. The decline of submerged vascular plants in upper Chesapeake Bay: Summary of results concerning possible causes. Mar. Tech. Sos. J. 17(2): 78-89.

Kemp, W. M. and W. R. Boynton. 1984. Spatial and temporal coupling of nutrient inputs to estuarine primary production: The role of particulate transport and decomposition. Bull. Mar. Sci. 35: 522-535.

Kemp, W.M., P. Sampou, J. Caffrey, M. Mayer, K. Henriksen and W.R. Boynton. 1990. Ammonium recycling versus denitrification in Chesapeake Bay sediments. Limnology and Oceanography 35(7): 1545-1563.

Kemp, W.M. and W.R. Boynton. 1992. Benthic-pelagic interactions: Nutrients and oxygen dynamics, p. 149-209. In: D.E. Smith, M. Leffler and G. Mackiernan. Oxygen Dynamics in the Chesapeake Bay-A Synthesis of Recent Results. A Maryland Sea Grant Book, College Park, MD

Kemp, W. M., P. A. Sampou, J. Garber, J. Tuttle, and W. R. Boynton. 1992. Seasonal depletion of oxygen from bottom waters of Chesapeake Bay: Relative roles of benthic and planktonic respiration and physical exchange processes. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 85: 137-152.

Kemp, W. M., E. M. Smith, M. Marvin-DiPasquale and W. R. Boynton. 1997. Organic carbonbalance and net ecosystem metabolism in Chesapeake Bay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 150: 229-248.

Kemp, W. M., J. Hagy, E. Smith and W. Boynton. 1999. Ecosystem metabolism and nutrient fluxes in Chesapeake Bay: Role of hydrology and climatology. Presentation at Estuarine Research Federation, New Orleans.

Kemp, W. M., M. T. Brooks, and R. R. Hood. 2001. Nutrient enrichment, habitat variability and trophic transfer efficiency in simple models of pelagic ecosystems. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 223: 73-87

Kemp, W. R., W. R. Boynton, J. E. Adolf, D. F. Boesch, W. C. Boicourt, G. Brush, J. C. Cornwell, T. R. Fisher, P. M. Glibert, J. D. Hagy, L. W. Harding, E. E. Houde, D. G.

Kimmel, W. D. Miller, R. I. E. Newell, M. R. Roman, E. M. Smith, and J. C. Stevenson. 2005. Eutrophication of Chesapeake Bay: Historical trends and ecological interactions. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. (In Press).

Kirchner, W. B. and P. J. Dillon. 1975. An empirical method of estimating the retention of phosphorus in lakes. Wat. Ressour. Res. 11: 182-183.

Kitchell, J.F., R.V. O'Neill, D. Webb, G.W. Gallepp, S.M. Bartell, J.K. Koonce, and N. S. Ausmus. 1979. Consumer regulation of nutrient cycling. BioScience. 29:28-34.

Kitchell, J.F. and S.R. Carpenter. 1993. Cascading trophic interactions, pp. 1-14, In: S. Carpenter and J. Kitchell. The trophic cascade in lakes. Cambridge University Press, New York.

Kjerfve, B.J. and J.A. Proehl. 1979. Velocity variability in a cross-section of a wellmixed estuary. J. Mar. Res. 37:409-418.

Koop, K., D. Booth, A. Broadbent, J. Brodie, D. Capone, J. Coll, W. Dennison, M. Erdmann, P. Harrison, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, P. Hutchings, G.B. Jones, A.W.D. Larkum, J. O'Neil, A. Steven, E. Tentori, S. Ward, J. Williamson, D. Yellowlees. 2001. The effect of nutrient enrichment on coral reefs. Synthesis of results and conclusions. Marine Pollution Bulletin, Vol.42, No.2, pp. 91-120.

Kroeze, C. and S. P. Seitzinger 1998. Nitrogen inputs to rivers, estuaries and continental shelves and related oxide emissions in 1990 and 2050: a global model. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 52: 195-212.

Krom, M. D. and R. A. Berner. 1980. Adsorption of phosphate in anoxic sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. 25: 797-806.

Larsson, U., R. Elmgren and F. Wulff. 1985. Eutrophication and the Baltic Sea: Causes and consequences. Ambio 14:9-14.

Lindeman, R. L. 1942. The trophic-dynamic aspect of ecology. Ecology. 23: 399-418.

Lubbers, L., W. R. Boynton, and W. M. Kemp. 1990. Variations in structure of estuarine fish communities in relation to abundance of submersed vascular plants. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 65: 1-14.

Luo, J., K.J. Hartman, S.B Brandt, C.F. Cerco, T.H. Rippetoe. 2001. A spatially-explicit approach for estimating carrying capacity: An application for the Atlantic menhaden (*Brevortia tyrannus*) in Chesapeake Bay. Estuaries. 24:545-556.

Malone, T.C., W.R. Boynton, T. Horton, and C. Stevenson. 1993. Nutrient loadings to surface waters: Chesapeake Bay case study. pp. 8-38. In: Uman, M.F. (ed.). *Keeping*

Pace with Science and Engineering. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. [CEES Contribution No. 2491].

Marino, M., F. Chan, R. W. Howarth, M. Pace, and G. E. Likens. 2002. Ecological and biogeochemical interactions constrain planktonic nitrogen fixation in estuaries. Ecosystems 5: 719-725.

Marino, R., R. W. Howarth, F. Chan, J. J. Cole, and G. E. Likens. 2003. Sulfate inhibition of molybdenum-dependent nitrogen fixation by planktonic cyanobacteria under seawater conditions: a non-reversible effect. Hydrobiollogia 500: 277-293.

Marino, M., F. Chan, R. W. Howarth, M. Pace, and G. E. Likens. 2006. Ecological constraints on planktonic nitrogen fixation in saline estuaries. I. Nutrient and trophic controls. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 309: 25-39.

Marvin-DiPasquale, M.C., W. R. Boynton and D.G. Capone. 2003. Benthic sulfate reduction along the Chesapeake Bay central channel. II. Temporal controls. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 260: 55-70.

McGlathery, K. J., N. Risgaard-Petersen, and P. B. Christensen. 1998. Temporal and spatial variation in nitrogen fixation activity in the eelgrass *Zostera marina* rhizosphere. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 168: 245-258.

McGlathery, K. J., I. C. Anderson and A. C. Tyler. 2001. Magnitude and variability of benthic and pelagic metabolism in a temperate coastal lagoon. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 216: 1-15.

Meeuwig, J. J. 1999. Predicting coastal eutrophication from land-use: an empirical approach to small non-stratified estuaries. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 176: 231-241.

Merrill, J.Z. 1999. Tidal freshwater marshes as nutrient sinks: particulate nutrient burial and denitrification. Doctoral dissertation. University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA.

Meybeck, M. 1982. Carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus transport by world rivers. American Journal of Science 282: 401-450.

Micheli, F. 1999. Eutrophication, fisheries, and consumer-resource dynamics in marine pelagic ecosystems. Science. 285:1396-1398.

Milliman, J. D. and J. P. M. Syvitski. 1992. Geomorphic/tectonic control of sediment discharge to the ocean: The importance of small mountainous rivers. The Journ. Of Geol. 100: 525-544.

Møhlenberg, F. 1995. Regulating mechanisms of phytoplankton growth and biomass in a shallow estuary. Ophelia 42:239-256.

Monbet, Y. 1992. Control of phytoplankton biomass in estuaries: A comparative analysis of microtidal and macrotidal estuaries. Estuaries 15: 563-571.

Mortazavi, B., R. L. Iverson, W. Huang, F. G. Lewis and J. Caffrey. 2000. Nitrogen budget of Apalachicola Bay, a bar-built estuary in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Ecology Process Series 195:1-14.

Murrell, C.M., R.S. Stanley, E.M. Lores, G.T. DiDonato, L.M. Smith and D.A. Flemer. 2002. Evidence that phosphorus limits phytoplankton growth in a Gulf of Mexico estuary: Pensacola Bay, Florida, USA. Bull Mar Sci 70: 155-167.

Muzuka, A. and C. Hillaire-Marcel. 1999. Burial rates of organic matter along the eastern Canadian margin and stable isotope constraints on its origin and diagenetic evolution. Marine Geology 160: 251-270.

National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration/Environmental Protection Agency (NOAA/EPA). 1989. Strategic Assessment of Near Coastal Waters, Susceptibility of East Coast Estuaries to Nutrient Discharges: Passamaquoddy Bay to Chesapeake Bay. Strategic Assessment Branch, NOS/NOAA, Rockville, MD

Nedwell, D.B., L.F. Dong, A. Sage, and G.J.C. Underwood. 2002. Variations of the nutrient loads to the mainland U.K. estuaries: Correlation with catchment areas, urbanization and coastal eutrophication. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 54: 951-970.

Neilson, B. J. and L. E. Cronin (eds.) 1981. Estuaries and Nutrients. Humana Press, NJ. p.643.

Nielsen, Lise B., Kai Finster, David T. Welsh, Andrew Donelly, Rodney A. Herbert, Rutger de Wit, Bente Aa. Lomstein. 2001. Sulphate reduction and nitrogen fixation rates associated with roots, rhizomes and sediments Zostera noltii and Spartina maritima meadows. Environmental Microbiology, 3(1), 63-71.

Nielsen, S. L., K. Sand-Jensen, J. Borum and O. Geertz-Hansen. 2002. Phytoplankton, nutrients, and transparency in Danish coastal waters. Estuaries 25: 930-937.

Newell, R. I. E. 1988. Ecological changes in Chesapeake Bay: Are they the result of overharvesting the Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*)? In: M. P. Lynch and E. C. Krome (eds.) Understanding the estuary: Advances in Chesapeake Bay research. Chesapeake Bay Research Consortium Publication 129 (CBP/TRS 24/88). Gloucester Point, VA, p. 536-546

Newell, R. I. E., J. Cornwell and M. S. Owens. 2002. Influence of simulated bivalve biodeposition and microphytobenthos on sediment nitrogen dynamics: a laboratory study. Limnol. Oceanogr. 47: 1367-1379

Newell, R. I. E., T. R. Fisher, R. R. Holyoke, and J. C. Cornwell. 2004. Influence of eastern oysters on N and P regeneration in Chesapeake Bay, USA. In: R. Dame and S. Olenin (eds.) The Comparative Roles of Suspension Feeders in Ecosystems. Kluwer, In Press.

Nixon, S. W., C. A. Oviatt, and S. S. Hale. 1976. Nitrogen regeneration and metabolism of coastal marine bottom communities, p. 269-283. In: J. M. Anderson and A. McFaden (eds.) The role of terrestrial and aquatic organisms in decomposition processes. Blackwell Scientific Publications. London.

Nixon, S. W. 1981. Remineralization and nutrient cycling in coastal marine ecosystems, p. 111-138. In: B. J. Neilson and L. E. Cronin (eds.), Estuaries and Nutrients. Humana Press, Clifton, NJ.

Nixon, S. W. 1982. Nutrient dynamics, primary production and fishery yields of lagoons. Oceanologica Acta 4: 357-371.

Nixon, S. W. 1988. Physical energy inputs and the comparative ecology of lake and marine ecosystems. Limnol. Oceanogr. 33: 1005-1025.

Nixon, S. W. 1992. Quantifying the relationship between nitrogen input and the productivity of marine ecosystems, p. 57-83. In: M. Takahashi, K. Nakata, and T. R. Parsons (eds.), Pro. Adv. Mar. Tech. Conf. (AM-TEC), Vol. 5. Tokyo, Japan.

Nixon, S. W. 1995. Coastal marine eutrophication: A definition, social causes, and future concerns. Ophelia 41: 199-219.

Nixon, S. W. 1997. Prehistoric nutrient inputs and productivity In Narragansett Bay. Estuaries 20(2): 253-261.

Nixon, S. W. 2003. Replacing the Nile: Are anthropogenic nutrients providing the fertility once brought to the Mediterranean by a great river? Ambio 32(1): 30-39.

Nixon, S. W. and M. E. Q. Pilson. 1983. Nitrogen in estuarine and coastal marine ecosystems, p. 565-648. In: E. J. Carpenter and D. G. Capone (eds.). Nitrogen in the Marine Environment. Academic Press, NY.

Nixon, S. W. and M Pilson. 1984. Estuarine total system metabolism and organic matter exchange calculated from nutrient ratios: an example from Narragansett Bay. In V. S. Kennedy (ed) The estuary as a filter. Academic Press, New York, p. 261-290.

Nixon, S. W., C. A. Oviatt, J. Frithsen and B. Sullivan. 1986. Nutrients and the productivity of estuaries and coastal marine ecosystems. J. Limnol. Soc. Sth. Afr. 12 (1/2): 43-71.

Nixon, S. W., S. L. Granger and B. L. Nowicki. 1995. An assessment of the annual mass balance of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in Narragansett Bay. Biogeochemistry 31: 15-6.

Nixon, S. W., J. W. Ammerman, L. P. Atkinson, V. M. Berounsky, G. Billen, W. C. Boicourt, W. R. Boynton, T. M. Church, D. M. Ditoro, R. Elmgren, J. H. Garber, A. E. Giblin, R. A. Jahnke, N. J. P. Owens, M. E. Q Pilson and S. P. Seitzinger. 1996. The fate of nitrogen and phosphorus at the land-sea margin of the North Atlantic Ocean. Biogeochemistry 35: 141-180.

Nixon, S. W. and B. A.Buckley. 2002. "A strikingly rich zone" – nutrient enrichment and secondary production in coastal marine ecosystems. Estuaries 25: 782-796.

Odum, H.T. 1956. Primary production in flowing waters. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1: 102-117.

Orth, R. J. and K. A. Moore. 1983. Chesapeake Bay: An unprecented decline in submerged aquatic vegetation. Science 222: 51-53.

Oviatt, C.A, A.L. Gail and S.W. Nixon. 1972. Environmental effects of Atlantic menhaden on surrounding waters. Chesapeake Science. 13:321-323.

Oviatt, C. A., A. A. Keller, P. A. Sampou, and L. L. Beatty. 1986. Patterns of productivity during eutrophication: a mesocosm experiment. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 28: 69-80.

Oviatt, C., P. Doering, B. Nowicki, L. Reed, J. Cole, J. Frithsen. 1995. An ecosystem level experiment on nutrient limitation in temperate coastal marine environments. Marine Ecology Progress Series 116: 171-179.

Paerl, H. W., K. M.Crocker, and L. E. Prufert. 1987. Limitation of N² fixation in coastal marine waters: Relative importance of Molybdenum, Iron, Phosphorus, and organic matter availability. Limnol. Oceanogr. 32 (3): 525-536.

Paerl, H. W., L. M. Valdes, A. R. Joyner, M. F. Piehler, and M. E. Lebo. 2004. Solving problems resulting from solutions: Evolution of a duel nutrient management strategy for the eutrophying Neuse River estuary, North Carolina. Environ. Sci. and Technol. 38(11): 3068-3073

Pauly D, V. Christensen, J. Dalsgaard, R. Froese, and F. Torres. 1998. Fishing down the food chain. Science 279: 860-863

Pedersen, M.F. and J. Borum. 1996. Nutrient control of algal growth in estuarine waters. Nutrient limitation and the importance of nitrogen requirements and nitrogen storage among phytoplankton and species of macroalgae. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 142: 261-272.

Pennock, J.R. and J.H. Sharp. 1986. Phytoplankton production in the Delaware Estuary: temporal and spatial varibility. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 34: 143-155.

Pennock, J.R. and J.H. Sharp. 1994. Temporal alteration between light- and nutrientlimitation of phytoplankton primary production in a coastal plain estuary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 111: 275-288.

Phil, L., S.P. Baden and R.J. Diaz. 1991. Effects of periodic hypoxia on distribution of demersal fish and crustaceans. Mar. Biol. 108:349-360.

Peters, R. H. 1991. A Critique for Ecology. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Rabalais, N. N. 2002. Nitrogen in aquatic ecosystems. Ambio 31(2): 102-112.

Rafaelli, D. G., J. A. Raven, and L. J. Poole. 1998. Ecological impact of green macroalgal blooms. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev. 36: 97-125.

Reay, W. G., D. L. Gallagher, and G. M. Simmons, Jr. 1995. Sediment-water column oxygen and nutrient fluxes in nearshore environments of the lower Delmarva Peninsula, USA. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 118: 215-227.

Rizzo, W. M., G. J. Lackey and R. R. Christian. 1992. Significance of euphotic, subtidal sediments to oxygen and nutrient cycling in a temperate estuary. Mar. Ecol. Prog. ser. 86: 51-61.

Rudek, J., H.W. Paerl, M.A. Mallin and P.W. Bates. 1991. Seasonal hydrological control of phytoplankton nutrient limitation in the lower Neuse River Estuary, North Carolina. Marine Ecology Progress Series 75: 133-142.

Rysgaard-Petersen, N., S. Rysgaard, L. P. Nielsen, and N. P. Revsbech. 1994. Diurnal variation of denitrification and nitrification in sediments colonized by benthic microphytes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 39: 573-579.

Ryther, J. H. and W. M. Dunstan. 1971. Nitrogen, phosphorus and eutrophication in the coastal marine environment. Science 171 (No. 3975): 1008-1013.

Sampou, P. and C. A. Oviatt. 1991. Seasonal patterns of sediment carbon and anaerobic respiration along a simulated eutrophication gradient. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 72: 271-282.

Sampou, P. A. and W. M. Kemp. 1994. Factors regulating plankton community respiration in Chesapeake Bay. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 110: 249-258.

Sand-Jensen, K. and J. Borum. 1991. Interactions among phytoplankton, periphyton, and macrophytes in temperate freshwaters and estuaries. Aquat. Bot. 41: 137-175.

Schindler, D. W. 1977. Evolution of phosphorus limitation in lakes. Science 195: 260-262.

Schindler, D. W. 1981. Studies of eutrophication in lakes and their relevance to the estuarine environment, p. 71-82. In: B. J. Neilson and L. E. Cronin (eds.). Estuaries and Nutrients. Humana Press, Clifton, NJ.

Seitzinger, S.P. 1988. Denitrification in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystem: Ecological and geochemical significance. Limnol Oceanogr 33:702-724.

Seitzinger, S. P and A. E. Giblin. 1996. Estimating denitrification in North Atlantic continental shelf sediments. Biogeochemistry 35(1): 235-260.

Smith, R. A., G. E. Schwartz and R. B. Alexander. 1997. Regional interpretation of water-quality monitoring data. Water Res. Res. 33(12): 2781-2798.

Smith, S. V. 1981. Responses of Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, to relaxation of sewage stress, p. 391-410. In: B. J. Neilson and L. E. Cronin (eds.) Estuaries and Nutrients. Humana Press. Clifton, NJ.

Smith, S. V. 1991. Stoichiometry of C:N:P fluxes in shallow-water marine ecosystems, p. 259-286, In: J. Cole, G. Lovett, S. Findlay (eds.) Comparative analyses of ecosystems. Patterns, mechanisms, theories. Springer-Verlag, NY.

Smith, S.V. and J. T. Hollibaugh. 1989. Carbon-controlled nitrogen cycling in a marine 'macrocosm': an ecosystem-scale model for managing cultural eutrophication. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 52: 103-109.

Smith, S.V. and J. T. Hollibaugh. 1997. Annual cycle and interannual variability of ecosystem metabolism in a temperate climate embayment. Ecol. Monogr. 67: 509-533.

Smith, S. V., D. P. Swaney, L. Talaue-McManus, J. D. Bartley, P. T. Sandhei, C. J. McLaughlin, V. C. Dupra, C. J. Crossland, R. W. Buddemeier, B. A. Maxwell, and F. Wulff. 2003. Humans, hydrology, and the distribution of inorganic nutrient loading to the ocean. BioScience 53 (3): 235-245.

Smith, V. H. 2003. Eutrophication of freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems: A global problem. Environ Sci and Pollut Res 10(1): 1-14.

Souchu, P., A. Vaquer, Y. Collos, S. Landrein, J.-M. Deslous-Paoli, B. Bibent. 2001. Influence of shellfish farming activities on the biogeochemical composition of the water column of Thau lagoon. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 218:141-152. Stankelis, R. M., W. R. Boynton, J. M. Frank and F. M. Rohland. 1999. Sediment-water oxygen and nutrient exchanges: Mini-SONE and high resolution mapping. Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Monitoring Program, Level One Report No. 16. Technical Rept Ser. No. TS-190-99. Chesapeake Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD. p. 1-164.

Stammerjohn, S.E., E. Smith, W.R. Boynton and W.M. Kemp. 1991. Potential impacts from marinas and boats in Baltimore Harbor. Chesapeake Research Consortium Publication Number 139. Solomons, MD

Sundby, B., C. Gobeil, N. Silverberg, and A. Mucci. 1992. The phosphorus cycle in coastal marine sediments. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37:1129-1145.

Taylor, D., S. Nixon, S. Granger and B. Buckley. 1995. Nutrient limitation and eutrophication of coastal lagoons. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 127: 235-244.

Testa, J.M. and W.M. Kemp. 2005. Stoichiometry of biogeochemical fluxes in the Patuxent River estuary, USA. Presentation at American Society of Limnology & Oceanography, Santiago de Compostela, Spain.

Turner, R. E. 2001. Some effects of eutrophication on pelagic and demersal marine food webs. In: N. N. Rabalais and R. E. Turner (eds.) Coastal Hypoxia: Consequences for Living Resources and Ecosystems. American Geophysical Union, Coastal and Estuarine Studies 58: 371-398.

Twilley, R. R., W. M. Kemp, K. W. Staver, J. Stevenson, and W. R. Boynton. 1985. Nutrient enrichment of estuarine submersed vascular plant communities: I. Algal growth and effects on production of plants and associated communities. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 23: 179-191.

Tyler, A. C. and K. J. McGlathery. 2003. Benthic algae control sediment-water column fluxes of organic and inorganic nitrogen compounds in a temperate lagoon. Limnol. Oceanogr. 48(6): 2125-2137.

Ulanowicz, R. E. and W. M. Kemp. 1979. Toward canonical trophic aggregations. American Naturalist 114: 871-883.

Valiela, I. and J. E. Costa 1988. Eutrophication of Buttermilk Bay, a Cape Cod coastal embayment: Concentrations of nutrients and watershed nutrient budgets. Environmental Management 12(4):539-553.

Valiela, I., K. Foreman, M. LaMontagne, D. Hersh, J. Costa, P. Peckol, B. DeMeo-Anderson, C. D'Avanzo, M. Babione, C-H. Sham, J. Brawley and K. Lajtha. 1992. Couplings of watersheds and coastal waters: Sources and consequences of nutrient enrichment in Waquoit Bay, Massachusetts. Estuaries 15(4): 443-457. Valiela, I., G. Collins, J. Kremer, K. Lajtha, M. Geist, B. Seely, J. Brawley, and C. H. Sham. 1997. Nitrogen loading from coastal watersheds to receiving estuaries: New method and application. Ecol. Appl. 7: 358-380.

Valiela, I., M. Geist, J. McClelland and G. Tomasky. 2000. Nitrogen loading from watersheds to estuaries: Verification of the Waquoit Bay Nitrogen Loading Model. Biogeochemistry 49: 277-293.

Valiela, I., J. L. Bowen, M. L. Cole, K. D. Kroeger, D. Lawrence, W. J. Pabich, G. Tomasky and S. Mazzilli. 2001. Following up on a Margalevian concept: Interactions and exchanges among adjacent parcels of coastal landscapes. Sci. Mar. 65(Suppl. 2): 215-229.

Van Breemen, N., E. W. Boyer, C. L. Goodale, N. A. Jaworski, K. Paustian, S. P. Seitzinger, K. Lajtha, B. Mayer, D. Van Dam, R. W. Howarth, K. J. Nadelhoffer, M. Eve and G. Billen. 2002. Where did all the nitrogen go? Fate of nitrogen Inputs to large watersheds In the northeastern U.S.A. Biogeochemistry 57/58: 267-293.

Vanderborght, J.P. and G. Billen. 1975. Vertical distribution of nitrate concentration in interstitial water of marine sediments with nitrification and denitrification. Limnol Oceanogr 20: 953-961.

Vollenweider, R. A. 1976. Advances in defining critical loading levels of phosphorus in lake eutrophication. Memorie-Istituto Italiano de Idrobiologia 33: 53-83.

Webb, A. P. and B. D. Eyre. 2004. Effect of natural populations of burrowing thalassinidean shrimp on sediment irrigation, benthic metabolism, nutrient fluxes and denitrification. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 268: 205-220.

Welsh, D. T. 2000. Nitrogen Fixation in Seagrass meadows: Regulation, plant-bacteria interactions and significance to primary productivity. Ecology Letters 3:58-71.

Wulff, F., A. Stigebrandt and L. Rahm. 1990. Nutrient dynamics of the Baltic. Ambio 14 (3): 126-133.

Yamamoto, T. 2003. The Seto Inland Sea—eutrophic or oligotrophic? Mar. Poll. Bull. 47:37-42.

Yurkovskis, A., F. Wulff, L. Rahm, A. Andruzaitis, and M. Rodrigues-Medina. 1993. A nutrient budget of Gulf of Riga; Baltic Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 37:113-127.